







Delivering on the Accelerated Agricultural Growth and Transformation for Shared Prosperity and Improved Livelihoods in Africa

Document for preparing country Biennial Review report on progress made for achieving the Malabo Declaration Goals and Targets

## Country Performance Reporting Template

**Draft March 2021** 

Country Performance Reporting Template on progress made for implementing the June 2014 Malabo Declaration				
of Country:				
Of Country.				
d other general information to be collected here>>				

#### PC 1.1 Country CAADP Process

#### Target:

CAADP process to be fully completed at the country level: Reach 100% of the completion, by the year 2022.

#### **Indicator:**

CAADP process completion Index (CAADPPro) for the current review period (2020-2021).

What major action was undertaken in the	
last two years (or since the last BR report)	Enter text
to help acheive this target? ACTION <sub>1.1</sub>	

Parameter	Progress as at 2021 Yes or No	If No, comment and expected date to complete
Existence of communication on internalizing or domesticating the Malabo Declaration, p1		
2. Existence of National Roadmap for implementing the Malabo Declaration, p2		
3. Existence of Malabo-compliant NAIP Appraisal Report, p3		
4. Existence of a Malabo-compliant NAIP, p4		
5. Malabo-compliant NAIP priorities reflected in national budget, p5		
6. Existence of Malabo-compliant NAIP M&E system, p6		
7. Existence of Malabo-compliant NAIP implementation progress report, p7		

• Sources of verification and other specific comments:

# PC 1.2 CAADP based Cooperation, Partnership & Alliance

#### Target:

Multi-sectorial coordination body and multi-stakeholder body fully established and operational at national level (reach 100% for the Quality of multi-sectorial and multi-stakeholder coordination body, Qc ) by 2022.

#### **Indicator:**

Existence of, and Quality of multisectorial and multistakeholder coordination body (Qc) for the current review period (2020-2021). What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target?, **ACTION**<sub>1.2</sub>

arameter/Sub-parameter	Progress as at 2021 (yes or No)	If No, comments
. Existence of quality terms of		
efereence (TORs), Qc1		
1a. Existence of the TORs (yes or		
no), p <sub>TOR1</sub>		
	i. Alignment of the objectives	
1b. Reflection of the key	ii. Roles and responsibilities	
elements (yes or no), p <sub>TOR2</sub>	iii. Roadmap	
	Iv. Buget	
	i. Government	
	ii.CSOs,	
	iii. Private sector	
1c. Representation of	iv. Research and knowledge	
stakeholders (yes or no), p <sub>TOR3</sub>	organizations	
	v. Farmer organizations	
	vi. Development Partners	
	i. Government	
	ii.CSOs,	
1d Polovance of membership	iii. Private sector	
1d. Relevance of membership (yes or no), p <sub>TOR4</sub>	iv. Research and knowledge	
(yes of flo), pror4	organizations	
	v. Farmer organizations	
	vi. Development Partners	
1e. Existence of list of official		
nominees (number + seniority) and		
affiliation (yes or no), p <sub>TOR5</sub>		

Parameter	eter Sub-parameter Progress as at 2021	
2. Level of implementation of the coordination actions, Qc <sub>2</sub>		
2. Postanova for	Total number of meetings planned in the TORs for the evaluation period, NmT	
2a. Performance for meetings held, p <sub>IMP1</sub>	Total number of meetings organized during the evaluation period, NmO	
	Number of invitations received by the stakeholders for each meeting, NIN(i)	Meeting 1:
		Meeting 2:
		Meeting 3:
2b. Level of engagement, p <sub>IMP2</sub>		Meeting 4:
	Total number of required participants in the TORs, NINT	

Parameter/Sub-parameter	Progress as at 2021			
3. Level of participation and inclusiveness, Qc <sub>3</sub>				
3a. Total number of organizations, N <sub>org</sub>				
3b. Total number of meetings organized, N <sub>mO</sub>				
	Meeting 1:			
	Meeting 2:			
3c. Number of organizations present at the meetings organized, ∑Norgi	Meeting 3:			
	Meeting 4:			

Parameter/Sub-parameter	Progress as at 2021
4. Level of commitment to decisions, Qc <sub>4</sub>	
4a. Total number of recommendations taken during the evaluation period, $\ensuremath{N_{\text{RT}}}$	
4b. Total number of decisions taken with out of the number of recommendations during the evaluation period, $N_{\text{DT}}$	
4c. Number of decisions implemented, N <sub>DI</sub>	

Parameter/Sub-parameter	Progress as at 2021			
5. Level of Representation, Qc <sub>5</sub>				
5a. Total expected senior attendance per meeting, T <sub>SA</sub>				
5b. Total number of meetings organized, N <sub>mO</sub>				
	Meeting 1:			
	Meeting 2:			
5c. Observed total senior attendances at each meeting, O <sub>SAi</sub>	Meeting 3:			
	Meeting 4:			

• Sources of verification and other specific comments:

#### PC 1.3

CAADP based Policy & Institutional Review/ Setting/ Support

#### Target:

Evidence-based policies and institutions that support planning and implementation are established and implemented by the country to deliver on Malabo (reach 100% for the Evidence-based policies, supportive institutions and corresponding human resources, EIP) by 2020.

#### **Indicator:**

Evidence-based policies, supportive institutions and corresponding human resources (EIP) for the current review period (2020-2021).

What major action was undertaken in the
last two years (or since the last BR report)
to help acheive this target? <b>ACTION</b> <sub>1.3</sub>

• Sources of verification and other specific comments:

Parameter/Sub-parameter	Progress as at 2021
Evidence-based policies and strategies evidence: EPE	
1a. Total number of policies and strategies in the NAIP, TNP	
1b. Number of policies and strategies that are evidence-based, NEP	
2. Supportive institutions (laws and regulations): EPI	
2a. Number of policies and strategies elements in the NAIP that required supportive institutions (laws and regulations), NRI	
2b. Number of policies and strategies that have the required institutions (laws and regulations) NIP	
3. Full-time equivalent staff dedicated to agricultural policy planning, implementation and M&E within the Ministry of agriculture: FTE	
3a. Number of required fulltime staff positions for planning and M&E, FTP	
3b. Number of staffing positions filled, FTS	

## PC 2.1i Public Expenditures to Agriculture.

What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? **ACTION**<sub>2.1i</sub>

Enter text

#### **Target:**

Increase Governmentexpend itures to agriculture as part of national expenditures, to at least 10% from the year 2015 to 2025.

#### **Indicator:**

Government
agriculture
expenditure as % of
total government
expenditure (tGAE)

Parameter/Sub-parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
1. Total Government Expenditure						
in local currency units (TGE)						
			-			
2. Governement Agriculture						
Expenditure in local currency units						
(GAE)						
2a. GAE on crops						
2b. GAE on livestock						
2c. GAE on forestry						
2d. GAE on fishery						

• Sources of verification and other specific comments:

## PC 2.1ii Public Expenditures to Agriculture.

#### Target:

Ensure adequate intensity of agricultural spending by keeping annual Governement agriculture expenditure as % of agriculture value added to no less than (or at a minimum of) 19% from the year 2015 to the year 2025.

What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? **ACTION**<sub>2.1ii</sub>

Parameter/ Sub-parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
1. Governement Agriculture						
Expenditure in local currency						
units (GAE) Refer to 2.1i						
2. Agriculture value added in						
local currency units (AgVA)						
2a. AgVA for crops						
2a. AgVA for livestock						
2a. AgVA for forestry						
2a. AgVA for fishery						

Indicator: Government agriculture expenditure as % of agriculture value added (GAEAgVA)	■ Sources of verification and other spe	cific comments	5:				
PC 2.1iii							
Public	What major action was undertaken in th						
Expenditures to	last two years (or since the last BR repor	t)		Ent	er text		
Agriculture.	to help acheive this target? <b>ACTION</b> <sub>2.1iii</sub>						
<u>Target:</u>							
Ensure that Official	Parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Development Assistance (ODA)	1. ODA for agriculture, gross						
committed to	disbursements in current US\$						
implement the	(agODAD)						
NAIPs is fully disbursed to	2. ODA for agriculture, commitments						
countries. The	in current US\$ (agODAC)						
target is to have 100% ODA disbursement annually from 2015 to 2025.	<ul> <li>Sources of verification and other spe</li> </ul>	cific comments	3:				
Indicator:							
Official development							
assistance for							
agriculture, disbursement as % of							
commitment							
(agODA)							

#### **PC 2.2 Domestic Private** What major action was undertaken in the Sector last two years (or since the last BR report) Enter text **Investment in** to help acheive this target? ACTION2,2 Agriculture. Target: 2015 Ensure that 2019 **Parameter** 2016 2017 2018 2020 government investment leverage 1. Domestic private sector investment in at least X times agriculture in current US\$ (DPrIA) domestic private investment in 2. Agriculture value added in current US\$ agriculture sector (AgVA) by 2025. Sources of verification and other specific comments: **Indicator:** Ratio of domestic private sector investment in agriculture to agriculture value added (tDPrPb) PC 2.3 **Foreign Private** What major action was undertaken in the Sector last two years (or since the last BR report) Enter text Investment in to help acheive this target? ACTION2.3 Agriculture. Target: 2015 2019 2020 **Parameter** 2016 2017 2018 Ensure that government 1. Foreign private direct investment in investment leverage agriculture in current US\$ (FPrIA) at least Y times 2. Agriculture value added in current US\$ foreign private

Sources of verification and other specific comments:

direct investment in agriculture sector

by 2025.

(AgVA)

#### **Indicator:**

Ratio of foreign private sector investment in agriculture to agriculture value added (tFPrPb)

#### **PC 2.4**

Market Access to Agricultural Finanace.

#### Target:

Ensure that 100% of men and women engaged in agriculture have access to financial services to be able to transact agriculture business, by 2025.

#### **Indicator:**

Proportion of men and women engaged in agriculture with access to financial services % (tAgFs)

What major action was undertaken in the
last two years (or since the last BR report)
to help acheive this target? ACTION2.4

Parameter/Sub-parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
1. Total number of men and						
women engaged in						
agriculture (NtAg = NtAgM +						
NtAgW)						
1a. Number of men						
engaged in agriculture						
(NtAgM)						
1b. Number women						
engaged in agriculture						
(NtAgW)						
2. Total number of men and						
women engaged in						
agriculture that have access						
to financial services (NfsAg =						
NfsAgM + NfsAgW)						
2a. Number of men						
engaged in agriculture that						
have access to financial						
services (NfsAgM)						
2b. Number of women						
engaged in agriculture that						

	have access to financial services (NfsAgW)									
	<ul> <li>Sources of verification and other specific comments</li> <li>-</li> </ul>	5:	·							
23.1 <u>i</u>										
Access to Agriculture inputs and technologies	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? <b>ACTION</b> <sub>3.1i</sub>			Enter text						
Target:	Parameter/Sub-parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Ensure minimum use of fertilizer for African agriculture	1. Total fertilizers consumption (N+P, N+P+K) in Kg, Fc		2010	2017	2010					
development at level of	1a. Nitrogen fertilizer consumption (N, FAOSTAT code 3102) in Kg, Fc <sub>1</sub>									
consumption of at least 50 kilograms per hectare of	1b. Phosphate fertilizer consumption (P, FAOSTAT code 3103) in Kg, Fc <sub>2</sub>									
arable land, from 2015 to 2025.	1c. Potash fertilizer consumption (K, FAOSTAT code 3104) in Kg, Fc <sub>3</sub>									
<u>Indicator:</u>	2. Arable Land and Permanent Crops in hectare, <b>L</b>									
Fertilizer consumption	2a. Arable land in hectares, L₁									
(kilogram of nutrients) per	2b. Permanent crops land area in hectares, L <sub>2</sub>									
hectare of arable land) (Fz)	■ Sources of verification and other specific comments:									

PC 3.1ii Access to Agriculture inputs and technologies	What major action was undertaken is last two years (or since the last BR reto help acheive this target? <b>ACTION</b> :	BR report) Enter text						
Target:	Parameter	2000	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Increase the size of irrigated areas (as per its value	Irrigated areas in hectares (IA)							
observed in the year 2000), by 100% by the year 2025.	■ Sources of verification and Speci	fic comme	ents:				,	
Indicator: Growth rate of the size of irrigated area (R <sub>i</sub> IA)								
PC 3.1iii								
Access to	What major action was undertaken i	in the						
Agriculture	last two years (or since the last BR re				Enter	text		
inputs and	to help acheive this target? <b>ACTION</b> :	3.1iii						
technologies		<u> </u>						
Target:	Parameter/Sub-parameter	(	Crop	2015	2016 20	2018	2019	2020
Double (100% increase) the		Crop 1:						
current levels of quality agricultural	1. Total national quality agriculture	Crop 2:						
inputs for crops (seed), livestock	inputs requirement for the	Crop 3:						
(breed), and fisheries	selected crop in kg, <i>AgIRi</i>	Crop 4:						
(fingerlings), by the year 2025 from the		Crop 5:						
year 2015.	1a. Total area cultivated of the	Crop 1:						
<u>Indicator:</u>	selected crop in ha, <i>Ac</i> ₁	Crop 2:						

Growth rate of the ratio of supplied		Crop 3:			
quality agriculture inputs (seed, breed,		Crop 4:			
fingerlings) to the		Crop 5:			
total national inputs requirements for the		Crop 1:			
commodity(in %), is : <i>(ţAI<sub>t</sub> )</i>		Crop 2:			
	1b. Recommended seed rate of the selected crop in kg/ha, Rs1	Crop 3:			
	, 3, ,	Crop 4:			
		Crop 5:			
		Crop 1:			
	2. Total amount of quality seed of	Crop 2:			
	improved varieties supplied for the	Crop 3:			
	selected crop in MT, AgIS <sub>1</sub>	Crop 4:			
		Crop 5:		 	

■ Sources of verification and other specific comments:

Parameter	Livestock	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	Cattle						
1. Total number of female	Sheep						
animals at reproductive age,	Goats						
NfcRa₁	Pigs						
	Camel						

	Other			
	Cattle			
2. Total number of female	Sheep			
animals at reproductive age	Goats			
that are artificially inseminated, NfcRal <sub>1</sub>	Pigs			
inserimateu, Nychui <sub>1</sub>	Camel			
	Other			
	Cattle			
3. Extent to which female	Sheep			
animals are artificially inseminated at national level	Goats			
by farmers, $Ri = NfcRal_1$ /	Pigs			
NfcRa <sub>1</sub>	Camel			
	Other			

Parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Livestock (poultry)						
1. Total number of chicken, <i>Nch</i>						
2. Total number of day old chicks supplied, <i>N</i> <sub>DOC</sub>						
3. Extent to which day old chicks are used at the national level by farmers, Ri = N <sub>DOC</sub> / Nch						

	Fish							
	1. Total capacity (in number of fish fish ponds, <i>Cfp</i>	n) of						
	2. Total number of improved fingerlings supplied , <i>N<sub>Fgi</sub></i>							
	3. Extent to which the imporved fingerlings are used at the national level by farmers, $Ri = N_{Fgi} / Cfp$	ıl						
	<ul> <li>Sources of verification and oth</li> </ul>	er specific c	omments:					
PC 3.1iv Access to Agriculture inputs and technologies	What major action was undertake last two years (or since the last BR to help acheive this target? <b>ACTIO</b>	report)			Ente	rtext		
<u><b>Target:</b></u> All farmers have	Parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	_
access to quality agricultural advisory services	Number of farmers having access to Agricultural Advisory Services, NFAgAS							_
that provide locally relevant knowledge, information and	2. Total number of farmers, NF							_
other services by 2018.	<ul><li>Sources of verification and oth</li></ul>	er specific c	omments:					
Indicator: Proportion of farmers having access to Agricultural Advisory Services (AFAgAS)								

DC 2 1							
PC 3.1v Access to Agriculture inputs and technologies	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? <b>ACTION</b> <sub>3.1v</sub>			Ente	r text		
teemologies							
Target: Increase the level of	Parameter/Sub-parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Investments in Agricultural Research and Development to at	Total Agricultural Research     Spending in local currency units (Icu),     TARS						
least 1% of the Agricultural GDP,	1a. Salaries (for scientists with PhD, MS, and BS), W						
from 2015 to 2025.	1b. Program and operations cost, POC						
<u>Indicator:</u> Total Agricultural	1c. Capital investment, Cl						
Research Spending as a share of AgGDP (†TARS)	2. Agriculture value added in local currency units (lcu), AgVA						
(ţiANJ)	■ Sources of verification and other specification	ic comments:					
PC 3.1vi							
Access to Agriculture inputs and	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? <b>ACTION</b> <sub>3.1vi</sub>			Ente	r text		
technologies							
<i>Target:</i> Ensure that 100%	Parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
of farmers and agribusiness interested in	Total adult agricultural population in the country, NTFHh						
agriculture have rights to access the required land by	1a. Number of men, NTFHh <sub>M</sub>						
2020. <i>Indicator:</i>	1b. Number of women, NTFHh <sub>w</sub>						

Proportion of adult agricultural population with ownership or secure land rights over agricultural land (thhSL)	2. Number of agricultural population with secure land rights, NFHhSL  2a. Number of men, NFHhSL <sub>M</sub> 2b. Nuber of women, NFHhSL <sub>W</sub>						
,	<ul> <li>Sources of verification and other specific com</li> </ul>	iments:					
PC 3.2i							
Agricultural	What major action was undertaken in the						
<b>Productivity</b>	last two years (or since the last BR report)			Ente	rtext		
	to help acheive this target? <b>ACTION</b> <sub>3.2i</sub>						
<i>Target:</i> Double (100%							
increase) the							
current agricultural		2015			2040	2010	
labor productivity levels by the year	Parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	4. A sui sultanno e dele di celus in esperatoret le sel						
2025 from the year 2015.	<ol> <li>Agriculture added value in constant local currency units (AgVA)</li> </ol>						
	_						
2015.  Indicator: Growth rate of	currency units (AgVA)  1a. Agriculture added value in current local						
2015.	currency units (AgVA)  1a. Agriculture added value in current local currency units (AgVA <sub>cu</sub> )						

## PC 3.2ii Agricultural Productivity

#### Target:

Double (increase by 100%) the current agricultural land productivity levels, by the year 2025 from the year 2015.

#### **Indicator:**

Growth rate of agriculture value added per hectare of agricultural land (tAgL)

What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? **ACTION**<sub>3.2ii</sub>

Enter text

Parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Agriculture added value for crops and livestock						
in constant local currency units (AgVA <sub>cl</sub> )						
1a. Agriculture added value for crops and						
livestock in current local currency units						
(AgVA <sub>cu</sub> )						
1b. AgVA deflator (AgVA <sub>def</sub> )						
2. Agricultural land in hectares (L <sub>3</sub> )						

Sources of verification and Specific comments:

## PC 3.2iii Agricultural Productivity

#### Target:

Double (100% increase) the current agricultural yield levels, by the year 2025 from the year 2015.

#### **Indicator:**

Growth rate of the yield of commodity *i* (tyl;)

What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? **ACTION**<sub>3,2iii</sub>

Commodity	Parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	1.1. Total production in tonnes (Pd <sub>1</sub> )						
1:	1.2. Total size of the production unit (in ha for crops and number for livestock) (L <sub>1</sub> )						

	2.1. Total production in tonnes (Pd <sub>2</sub> )			
2:	2.2. Total size of the production unit (in ha for crops and number for livestock) (L <sub>2</sub> )			
	3.1. Total production in tonnes (Pd <sub>3</sub> )			
3:	3.2. Total size of the production unit (in ha for crops and number for livestock) (L <sub>3</sub> )			
	4.1. Total production in tonnes (Pd <sub>4</sub> )			
4:	4.2. Total size of the production unit (in ha for crops and number for livestock) (L <sub>4</sub> )			
	5.1. Total production in tonnes (Pd <sub>5</sub> )			
5:	5.2. Total size of the production unit (in ha for crops and number for livestock) (L <sub>5</sub> )			
■ Sources of	verification and Specific comments:	,		

#### PC 3.3

#### **Post-Harvest Loss**

#### Target:

Halve (decrease by 50%) the current levels of Post-Harvest Losses (PHL), by the year 2025 from the year 2015.

#### **Indicator:**

Reduction rate of Post-Harvest Losses for (at least) the 5 national priority commodities, and possibly for the 11 AU agriculture priority commodities (ţPHL) What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? **ACTION**<sub>3.3</sub>

Commodity	Parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	1.1. Production (million tons) of the commodity 1, Pd <sub>1</sub>						
	1.2a. Loss at Harvesting; Lhv						
	1.2b. Loss at Storage; Lst						
1:	1.2c. Loss at Transport; Ltr						
	1.2d. Loss at Processing; LPr						
	1.2e. Loss at Packaging; Lpc						
	1.2f. Loss at Sales; Lsl						
	2.1. Production (million tons) of the commodity 2, Pd <sub>2</sub>						
	2.2a. Loss at Harvesting; Lhv						
	2.2b. Loss at Storage; Lst						
2:	2.2c. Loss at Transport; Ltr						
	2.2d. Loss at Processing; LPr						
	2.2e. Loss at Packaging; Lpc						
	2.2f. Loss at Sales; Lsl						
3:	3.1. Production (million tons) of the commodity 3, Pd <sub>3</sub>						

	3.2a. Loss at Harvesting; Lhv			
	3.2b. Loss at Storage; Lst			
	3.2c. Loss at Transport; Ltr			
	3.2d. Loss at Processing; LPr			
	3.2e. Loss at Packaging; Lpc			
	3.2f. Loss at Sales; Lsl			
	4.1. Production (million tons) of the commodity 4, Pd <sub>4</sub>			
	4.2a. Loss at Harvesting; Lhv			
	4.2b. Loss at Storage; Lst			
4:	4.2c. Loss at Transport; Ltr			
	4.2d. Loss at Processing; LPr			
	4.2e. Loss at Packaging; Lpc			
	4.2f. Loss at Sales; Lsl			
	5.1. Production (million tons) of the commodity 5, Pd <sub>5</sub>			
	5.2a. Loss at Harvesting; Lhv			
	5.2b. Loss at Storage; Lst			
5:	5.2c. Loss at Transport; Ltr			
	5.2d. Loss at Processing; LPr			
	5.2e. Loss at Packaging; Lpc			
	5.2f. Loss at Sales; Lsl			

<u>The 11 AU strategic agricultural commodities are:</u> Rice, Maize, Legumes, Cotton, Oil palm, Beef, Dairy, Poultry and fisheries, Cassava, Sorghum and Millet.

Insert more commodities if necessary or not listed in the 11 AU strategic agricultural commodities.

	<ul> <li>Sources of verification and other specific</li> </ul>	comments:					
<u>4</u>							
cial Protection <u>arget:</u>	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? <b>ACTION</b> <sub>3.4</sub>			Enter	text		
nmit within ional budgets,	1 3 3 2 2 3 3 1						
dget lines that ount to 100% of total resource	Parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	202
uirements for verage of the nerable social	Budget Allocation to social protection     Cash Transfers for food and cash reserves in local currency units, BACT						
groups, from 2015 to 2025, for use to support social protection	Budget Allocation to social protection     Emergency Food Supplies in local currency units, BA <sub>EFS</sub>						
ciatives, and to dress any entual disasters	3. Budget Allocation to social protection School Feeding in local currency units, BA <sub>SF</sub>						
d emergencies th food and crition security	4. Budget Allocation to social protection Other protective services in local currency units, BA <sub>Other</sub>						
olications.	5. Total Budget Allocation to social protection in local currency units, (TBA <sub>SP</sub> = BA <sub>CT</sub> + BA <sub>EFS</sub> + BA <sub>SF</sub> + BA <sub>Other</sub> )						
dget lines on ial protection as centage of the all resource	6. Total Budget Requirements for social protection in local currency units, TBR <sub>SP</sub>						
uirements for rerage of the	Data	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	202

1a. Total number of beneficiaries of Cash Transfers for food and cash reserves, nBA <sub>CT</sub>				
2a. Total number of beneficiaries of Emergency Food Supplies, nBA <sub>EFS</sub>				
3a. Total number of beneficiaries of School Feeding, nBA <sub>SF</sub>				
4a. Total number of beneficiaries of Other Protective Services, nBA <sub>Other</sub>				
<ul> <li>Sources of verification and other specific</li> </ul>	comments:		1	

#### PC 3.5i

### Food security and Nutrition

#### Target:

Bring down child stunting to 10%, by the year 2025.

#### **Indicator:**

Prevalence of stunting (% of children under 5 years old) (St)

What major action was undertaken in the	
last two years (or since the last BR report)	Enter text
to help acheive this target? ACTION <sub>3.5i</sub>	

Parameter/Sub-parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
1. Percent of children 0-59 month of age that is stunted (St)						
1a. Total number of children 0-59 months of age (T)						
2. Percent of male children 0-59 month of age that is stunted (Hm)						
2a. Total number of male children 0-59 months of age (Tm)						
3. Percent of female children 0-59 month of age that is stunted (Hw)						
3a. Total number of female children 0- 59 months of age (Tf)						

• Sources of verification and other specific comments:

#### PC 3.5ii

#### Food security and Nutrition

#### Target:

Bring down underweight to 5% or less, by the year 2025.

#### Indicator:

Prevalence of underweight (% of children under 5 years old) (Uw)

What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? <b>ACTION</b> <sub>3.5ii</sub>			Enter	text		
Parameter/Sub-parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
1. Percent of children 0-59 month of age						
that is Underweight (Uw)						
1a. Total number of children 0-59						
months of age (T) refer to 3.5i						
2. Percent of male children 0-59 month of						
age that is Underweight (Hum)						

	2a. Total number of male children 0-59							
	months of age (Tm) refer to 3.5i							
	3. Percent of female children 0-59 month							
	of age that is Underweight (Huf)							
	3a. Total number of female children 0-							
	59 months of age (Tf) refer to 3.5i							
	Parameter/Sub parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	
	Parameter/Sub-parameter	2013	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	
	Prevalence of underweight (% of children under 5 years old), Uw							
	■ Sources of verification and other specific	comments:						
PC 3.5iii								
Food security and	What major action was undertaken in the							
Nutrition	last two years (or since the last BR report)	Enter text						
	to help acheive this target? <b>ACTION</b> <sub>3.5iii</sub>							
Target: Bring down wasting	Parameter/Sub-parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	
to 5% or less, by the year 2025.	1. Percent of children 0-59 month of age							
year 2025.	that is wasted (W)							
Indicator:	1a. Total number of children 0-59							
Prevalence of	months of age (T) refer to 3.5i							
wasting (% of	2. Percent of male children 0-59 month of							
children under 5	age that is wasted (Hwm)							
years old) (W)	2a. Total number of male children 0-59							
	months of age (Tm) refer to 3.5i							
	3. Percent of female children 0-59 month							
	of age that is wasted (Hwf)							
	3a. Total number of female children 0-							
	59 months of age (Tf) refer to 3.5i							
	Parameter/Sub-parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	

	Prevalence of wasting (% of children under 5 old), W							
	<ul> <li>Sources of verification and other specific</li> </ul>	c comments:						
PC 3.5iv								
Food security and Nutrition	What major action was undertaken in the			Finta	. havd			
Nutrition	last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? <b>ACTION</b> <sub>3.5iv</sub>	Enter text						
Target: Bring down	to help deficite this target. Netteris.siv							
undernourishment to 5% or less, by the	Parameter/Sub-parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	
year 2025.  Indicator:	1. Proportion of the population that is undernourished (% of the country's population), U							
Prevalence of undernourished (% of the country's	1a. Total population (T)							
of the country's population that is undernourished (U)	1b. Number of people undernourished (Sf)							
	Sources of verification and other specific comments:							
<u>PC 3.5v</u>								
Food security and	What major action was undertaken in the							
Nutrition	last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? <b>ACTION</b> <sub>3.5v</sub>			Enter	text			
<i>Target:</i> Increase the	to help deficive this target: Action3.59							
proportion of women at	Parameter/Sub-parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	
women at reproductive age that attain the	Proportion of minimum Dietary     Diversity-Women, MDDW							
minimum dietary diversity by 50%, by the year 2025.	1a. Total number of women at reproductive age (15-49 yrs), WT							
<u>Indicator:</u>	<ul> <li>Sources of verification and other specification</li> </ul>	c comments:				•		

Growth rate of the proportion of Minimum Dietary Diversity-Women (thing)

#### PC 3.5vi

**Food security and Nutrition** 

#### Target:

At least 50% of children 6-23 months that receive the minimum acceptable diet by the year 2025.

#### **Indicator:**

Proportion of 6-23 months old children who meet the Minimum Acceptable Diet (MAD)

What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? <b>ACTION</b> <sub>3.5vi</sub>			Ente	r text		
Parameter/Sub-parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Proportion of children 6-23 month of age that meet the Minimum Acceptable Diet (MAD)						
1a. Total number of children 6-23 months of age $(T_1)$						
2. Percent of male children 6-23 month of age that is stunted (HmM)						
2a. Total number of male children 6-23 months of age (Tm <sub>1</sub> )						
3. Percent of female children 6-23 month of age that meet the Minimum Acceptable Diet (HwM)						
3a. Total number of female children 6- 23 months of age (Tf <sub>1</sub> )						
Parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Proportion of 6-23 months old children who meet the Minimum Acceptable Diet, MAD						

PC 3.5vii Food security and Nutrition	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? <b>ACTION</b> <sub>3.5vii</sub>	two years (or since the last BR report) Enter text					
<i>Target:</i> Reduce the level of							
food insecure Individuals by 50%,	Parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
by the year 2025.	Proportion of the population experiencing moderate and severe food insecurity, FIES						
Indicator: Proportion of moderate and severe food insecurity in the population based on the Food Insecurity Experience Scale (FIES)	Sources of verification and other specific comments:						
PC 3.6i							
Food Safety	What major action was undertaken in the						
, ,	last two years (or since the last BR report)	e last BR report) Enter text					
<u>Target:</u>	to help acheive this target? ACTION <sub>3.6i</sub>						
Operational and functional food	Parameter/Sub-parameter		2020		If No, c	omments	
safety systems that	1. Existence of legal or policy and institutiona	l frameworks on					
meet international	food safety, FSSI <sub>1</sub> = average (Lw <sub>(i)</sub> ).						
best practices	1a. Existence of national food safety policy						
established (reach	updated at least in the last 10 years and co						
100% for the Food	food chain, Lw <sub>1</sub> PLEASE SELECT THE OPTIC THAT BEST APPLIES TO YOUR COUNTRY	ON (ONLY ONE)					
Safety Systems Indicator, FSSI) by	THAT BEST AFFEIES TO TOOK COONTRI						
2025.	a) A food safety policy, act or law covering	ng the entire food					
2023.	chain DOES NOT exist.	<b>G</b>					
	b) A food safety policy, act or law exists,	but it does not					
to disease or	cover the entire value chain.						
<u>Indicator:</u>	c) A food safety policy, act or law covering	•					
Food Safety Systems	chain exists BUT not updated in the pa	•					
Indicator, % (FSSI)	<ul> <li>d) A food safety policy, act or law covering chain exists AND updated in the past 1</li> </ul>	~					
	chain chists hird apaated in the past						

	_
1b. Existence of risk based food safety standards for at least	
5 priority commodities Lw <sub>2</sub>	
PLEASE SELECT THE OPTION (ONLY ONE) THAT BEST	
APPLIES TO YOUR COUNTRY	
a) The country has not set/adopted any food safety	
standards	
b) The country has food safety standards not based on	
country-specific risk assessments	
c) The country has food safety standards based on	
country-specific risk assessments or regionally	
harmonized standards for less than 5 priority	
commodities	
d) The country has food safety standards based on	
country-specific risk assessments or regionally	
harmonized standards for at least 5 priority	
commodities	
1c. Existence of competent regulatory institutions with	
clear mandates and coordination mechanims, Lw <sub>3</sub>	
PLEASE SELECT THE OPTION (ONLY ONE) THAT BEST	
APPLIES TO YOUR COUNTRY	
a) The food safety mandates of regulatory institutions	
are split across various government institutions and	
mandates are not clear	
b) The food safety mandates of regulatory institutions	
are split across various government institutions and	
mandates are clear, but coordination is inefficient	
c) The food safety mandates of regulatory institutions,	
split across various government institutions or in a	
single agency, are clear and coordination is efficient	
2. Quality of monitoring and surveillance programmes, FSSI <sub>2</sub> =	
average (MS <sub>(i)</sub> ).	
average (IVIO(i)).	
2. Edistance of a deltahander de conflicted de Conflicted	
2a. Existence of a risk-based and coordinated food safety	
monitoring/surveillance plan, MS <sub>1</sub>	

PLEASE SELECT THE OPTION (ONLY ONE	) THAT BEST	
APPLIES TO YOUR COUNTRY		
a) The country does not have a food s	afety	
	larety	
monitoring/surveillance plan		
b) The country has a food safety mon	itoring/surveillance	
plan, but it is not risk-based		
c) The country has a risk-based food:	safety	
monitoring/surveillance plan, but i	ts' implementation	
is not well coordinated		
d) The country has a risk-based food	safety	
monitoring/surveillance plan, and	-	
is well coordinated	ts implementation	
2b. Existence of a national epidemiolog		
database/system for storing informatio	n on food borne	
diseases, MS <sub>2</sub>		
PLEASE SELECT THE OPTION (ONLY ONE	) THAT BEST	
APPLIES TO YOUR COUNTRY		
a) A national epidemiological databas	e/system for	
storing information on foodborne		
<u> </u>		
(prevalence, incidence, mortality)		
b) A national epidemiological databas	-	
storing information for foodborne		
(prevalence, incidence, mortality)	exists, but it covers	
less than 50% of the country's area		
c) A national epidemiological databas	e/system for	
storing information for foodborne	-	
(prevalence, incidence, mortality)		
more than 50% of the country's are		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2c. Existence of a food safety response		
standard operating procedure, traceabi	lity and recall	
systems, MS <sub>3</sub>		
PLEASE SELECT THE OPTION (ONLY ONE	) THAT BEST	
APPLIES TO YOUR COUNTRY		

<ul> <li>a) A response system for food safety events, including standard operating procedures, traceability and recall</li> </ul>	
systems, does not exist	
b) A response system for food safety events exists, but	
without a standard operation procedure	
c) A response system for food safety events exists, with a	
standard operation procedure, BUT NO traceability and/or recall systems	
d) A response system for food safety events exists, with a	
standard operating procedure, traceability and recall	
systems	
2d. Participation in reliable food safety information	
notification systems, MS <sub>4</sub>	
PLEASE SELECT THE OPTION (ONLY ONE) THAT BEST APPLIES TO YOUR COUNTRY	
APPLIES TO YOUR COUNTRY	
a) The country DOES NOT participate in reliable food	
safety information notification systems	
b) The country participates in reliable food safety	
information notification systems	
2. Laboratary infractruature, analytical conscitu and laboratory	
3. Laboratory infrastructure, analytical capacity and laboratory performance, FSSI <sub>3</sub> = average (Lab <sub>(i)</sub> ).	
performance, 19913- average (Edb(i)).	
3a. Existence of national assessment of in-country	
laboratory capacity (either through national programmes	
or accreditation processes), Lab <sub>1</sub>	
PLEASE SELECT THE OPTION (ONLY ONE) THAT BEST APPLIES TO YOUR COUNTRY	
AFFLIES TO TOUR COUNTRY	
a) A national assessment of in-country laboratory	
capacity does not exist	
b) A national assessment of in-country laboratory	
capacity exists but last conducted more than 10 years	
ago	

c) A national assessment of in- country laboratory capacity exists and last conducted within the past 10 years	
3b. Existence of demonstrable government programmes to build, equip (including human resource) and sustain competent laboratories, Lab <sub>2 =</sub> average (Elt <sub>(i)</sub> )	
Elt 1: - Existence of national training programme for the qualification of laboratory analysts	
<ul> <li>a) National training programme for qualification of lab analysts DOES NOT exist</li> <li>b) National training programme for qualification of lab analysts exists</li> </ul>	
Elt 2: - Existence of national laboratory standards qualification/accreditation programme PLEASE SELECT THE OPTION (ONLY ONE) THAT BEST APPLIES TO YOUR COUNTRY	
<ul> <li>a) A national programme for laboratory standards qualification/accreditation DOES NOT exist</li> <li>b) A national programme for laboratory standards qualification/accreditation exists</li> </ul>	
Elt 3: - Existence of national budget to support laboratory infrastructure and instruments maintenance as well as operational and CAPEX requirements  PLEASE SELECT THE OPTION (ONLY ONE) THAT BEST APPLIES TO YOUR COUNTRY	
<ul> <li>a) National budget to support laboratory infrastructure and instruments maintenance as well as operational and CAPEX requirements does not exist</li> <li>b) National budget to support laboratory infrastructure and instruments maintenance as well as operational and CAPEX requirements exist, but adequate funds are not disbursed</li> </ul>	

	c) National budget to support laboratory infrastructure	
	and instruments maintenance as well as operational	
	and CAPEX requirements exists, and adequate funds	
	are disbursed	
	3c. Existence of competent laboratories (government,	
	official or private) and their demonstrable fitness for	
	purpose; Lab <sub>3</sub> =average (Elt <sub>(i)</sub> )	
	parpose, 2005 average (210(1))	
_	Elt 1: - Existence of Food testing laboratory	
	PLEASE SELECT THE OPTION (ONLY ONE) THAT BEST	
	APPLIES TO YOUR COUNTRY	
	ATTELES TO TOOK COONTRI	
	a) Food testing laboratory is not available in the country	
	b) The country has at least one food testing laboratory	
	Elt 2: - Existence of Laboratory capability (infrastructure	
	and instrumentation)	
	PLEASE SELECT THE OPTION (ONLY ONE) THAT BEST	
	APPLIES TO YOUR COUNTRY	
	ATTELES TO TOOK COONTRI	
	a) Laboratories exist, but they do not have functional	
	capabilities	
	b) Laboratories exist with adequate infrastructure for	
	rapid screening tests only (e.g. ELISA)	
	c) Laboratories exist with infrastructure to perform	
	standard chemical and biological analysis	
	Elt 3: -Existence of suitably trained people (capacity)	
	relative to the food safety regulations, (e.g at best the	
	lab MUST be able to measure the analyte in the specific	
	matrix as stipulated by the food law	
	PLEASE SELECT THE OPTION (ONLY ONE) THAT BEST	
	APPLIES TO YOUR COUNTRY	
	ATTELES TO TOOK COONTIN	
	a) The country lacks suitably trained people (capacity)	
	relative to food safety regulations	
	b) The country has suitably trained people (capacity)	
	relative to food safety regulations (e.g at best the lab	
	MUST be able to measure the analyte in the specific	
	matrix as stipulated by the food law)	
	matrix as supulated by the 1000 law)	

l e		
Elt 4: - E analysis	xistence of accredited laboratories in food	
	ECT THE OPTION (ONLY ONE) THAT BEST	
APPLIES TO	YOUR COUNTRY	
a) The co	untry does not have accredited laboratories in	
	nalysis.	
b) Accred	lited laboratories in food analysis exist in the	
	articipation in an accredited Proficiency Test and inter-laboratory comparison programmes	
	ECT THE OPTION (ONLY ONE) THAT BEST	
APPLIES TO	YOUR COUNTRY	
a) Food l	aboratories in the country DO NOT participate in	
	lited Proficiency Test Scheme and inter-	
	tory comparison programmes.	
· ·	aboratories in the country participate in littled Proficiency Test Scheme and inter-	
	tory comparison programmes.	
4. Existence of	programmes to facilitate/encourage	
compliance to	food safety standards, FSSI <sub>4</sub> = average (Prog <sub>(i)</sub> ).	
4a. Existen	ce of national capacity building programmes on	
GAP, GMP	and GHP $Prog_1 = average (Elt_{(i)})$	
Flt 1: Fx	istence of Capacity building on GAP.	
	THE OPTION (ONLY ONE) THAT BEST APPLIES	
TO YOUR COU		
a) Nation	nal capacity building programmes on GAP DO xist	
b) Nation	al capacity building programmes on GAP exist	
Elt 2: Ex	istence of capacity building on GMP)	-
PLEASE SELECT	THE OPTION (ONLY ONE) THAT BEST APPLIES	
TO YOUR COU	NTRY	

a) National capacity building programmes on GMP DO	
NOT exist	
b) National Capacity building programmes on GMP exist	
Elt 3: Existence of Capacity buiding on GHP. PLEASE SELECT THE OPTION (ONLY ONE) THAT BEST APPLIES	
TO YOUR COUNTRY	
a) National capacity building programmes on GHP DO	
NOT exist	
b) National capacity building programmes on GHP exist	
4b. Existence of national capacity building programmes in	
recognized quality management systems, e.g., HACCP, ISO;	
Prog <sub>2</sub> , PLEASE SELECT THE OPTION (ONLY ONE) THAT BEST	
APPLIES TO YOUR COUNTRY	
a) National capacity building programmes in recognized	
quality management systems, e.g., HACCP, ISO etc. DO NOT exist	
b) National capacity building programmes in recognized	
quality management systems, e.g., HACCP, ISO etc.	
exist	
4c. Existence of national food safety awareness raising	
programmes/activities; Prog <sub>3=</sub> Average (Elt <sub>(i)</sub> )	
Elt 1: Workshops	
PLEASE SELECT THE OPTION (ONLY ONE) THAT BEST APPLIES TO YOUR COUNTRY	
a) National food safety awareness raising workshops DO	
NOT exist	
b) National food safety awareness raising workshops	
exist	
Elt 2: Television/radio programmes	
PLEASE SELECT THE OPTION (ONLY ONE) THAT BEST	
APPLIES TO YOUR COUNTRY	
a) National food safety awareness raising TV/radio	
programmes DO NOT exist	
	<u> </u>

<ul> <li>a) National food safety awareness raising TV/radio programmes exist</li> </ul>	
Elt 3: Billboards	
PLEASE SELECT THE OPTION (ONLY ONE) THAT BEST	
APPLIES TO YOUR COUNTRY	
a) National food safety awareness raising billboards DO	
NOT exist	
b) National food safety awareness raising billboards exist	
Elt 4: Other National campaigns	
PLEASE SELECT THE OPTION (ONLY ONE) THAT BEST	
APPLIES TO YOUR COUNTRY	
a) National food safety awareness raising national	
compaigns DO NOT exist	
b) National food safety awareness raising national	
campaigns exist	
4d. Existence of national support/incentive for industry and	
producers (including private sector/SMEs), Prog <sub>4</sub>	
PLEASE SELECT THE OPTION (ONLY ONE) THAT BEST	
APPLIES TO YOUR COUNTRY	
a) National support/incentive for industry and producers	
(including private sector/SMEs) DOES NOT exist	
b) National support/incentive for industry and producers	
(including private sector/SMEs) exists	
Sources of verification and other specific comments:	

## PC 3.6ii

## **Food Safety**

### Target:

Reach at least 50% for the Food Safety Health Indicator (FSHI), by 2025.

### **Indicator:**

Food Safety Health Indicator, % (FSHI) What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? **ACTION**<sub>3.6ii</sub>

Enter text

Parameter/Sub-parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
1. Rate (%) of reduction of foodborne diarrheal diseases, FSHI <sub>1</sub>						
1a. Total population in a given year, TP <sub>i</sub>						
1b. Number of diarrheal disease cases per year, NCDDi						
2. Rate (%) of reduction of deaths in children under five years of age due to foodborne diarrheal diseases, FSHI <sub>2</sub>						
2a. Total population of children in a given year TPCi						
2b. Number of cases of diarrheal mortality per year in children under 5, $\mbox{NCDM}_{\rm i}$						
3. Rate (%) of reduction of the cases of liver cancer (Hepatocellular Carcinoma) caused by dietary exposure to aflatoxin, FSHI <sub>3</sub>						
3a. Number of Liver Cancer cases per year NHCC <sub>i</sub>						

• Sources of verification and other specific comments:

# PC 3.6iii

# **Food Safety**

## Target:

Reach at least 50% for the Food Safety Trade Indicator ( FSTI), by 2025

## **Indicator:**

Food Safety Trade Indicator, % ( FSTI)

What major action was undertaken in the	
last two years (or since the last BR report)	Enter text
to help acheive this target? ACTION <sub>3.6iii</sub>	

	2015					
Parameter/Sub-parameter		2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
1. Number of shipments of food commodities exported per year $TNS_t$						
2. Unit rejection rate which is number of rejections per USD 1 million export URR= (TRt/TVSt)*USD1,000,000						
2a. Total value of shipment of food commodities exported per year TVS <sub>t</sub>						
3. Number of rejected shipments based on food safety related trade violations in exported food commodities per year $TR_t = \sum (TR_{V(i)})$ . Please specify the violation type (e.g. aflatoxins, salmonella etc.) and the number of rejections for each question below.						
3a. Violation type 1 and number of rejections $TR_{V1}$						
3b. Violation type 2 and number of rejections TR <sub>V2</sub>						
3c. Violation type 3 and number of rejections TR <sub>V3</sub>						
3d. Other violations and number of rejections TR <sub>V4</sub>						
4. Total Rate of rejection per year, $TRR_t = \sum (RR_{V(i)})$						
4a. Rate of Rejection for violation type 1 $RR_{v1}$ = $TR_{v1}$ *100/ $TNS_t$						
4b. Rate of Rejection for violation type 2 $RR_{V2} = TR_{v2}*100/TNS_t$						

	4c. Rate of Rejection for violation type 3 RR <sub>V3</sub> =TR <sub>V3</sub> *100/TNS <sub>t</sub> 4d. Rate of Rejection for violation type 4 RR <sub>V4</sub> =TR <sub>V4</sub> *100/TNS <sub>t</sub> 5. Rate of reduction in unit rejection of food commodities due to food safety violation (noncompliance) on the basis of data disaggregated by type of violation, FST= (1-(URR <sub>t</sub> /URR <sub>2015</sub> )) *100									
	■ Sources of verification and other specific comments:									
PC 4.1i Agricultural GDP and Poverty Reduction	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? <b>ACTION</b> <sub>4.1i</sub>									
<u>Target:</u>										
Sustain annual agricultural GDP growth of at least	Parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
6%, from the year 2015 to the year 2025.	Agriculture value added, in constant local currency units  (AgVA)									
Indicator: Growth rate of the	1a. Agriculture value added, in current local currency units (AgVA <sub>cu</sub> ) (*)									
agriculture value added, in % (aAgVA)	1a (i). AgVA for crops, in current local currency units									
	1a (ii). AgVA for livestock, in current local currency units									
	1a (iii). AgVA for forestly, in current local currency units									

	1a (iv). AgVA for fisheries & currency units	Aquaculture, in cu	ırrent local					
	1b. AgVA deflator (AgVA <sub>def</sub> )							
	1c. AgVA deflator base year (AgVA <sub>def-year</sub> )  (*) Computed 1a. each year by sur							
C 4.1iii Agricultural GDP and Poverty Reduction	What major action was undert last two years (or since the last to help acheive this target? AC	t BR report)			Enter to	ext		
Agricultural GDP and Poverty Reduction  Target: Reduce poverty level by at least	last two years (or since the last	t BR report)	2016	2017		ext 018	2019	2020
Agricultural GDP and Poverty Reduction  Target: Reduce poverty	last two years (or since the last to help acheive this target? <b>AC</b>	t BR report)	2016	2017			2019	2020

Reduction rate of poverty headcount ratio, at national poverty line, (dpovN)	2. National poverty line (NPL)										
(eps see)	3. Number of pepole under the poverty line (NPoor)										
	4. Poverty headcount ratio at national poverty lines (% of population), (phrN)										
	■ Sources of verification and Specific comments:										
PC 4.1iv											
<b>Agricultural GDP</b>	What major action was underta	ken in the									
and Poverty	last two years (or since the last	•			Enter text						
Reduction	to help acheive this target? ACT	ION <sub>4.1iv</sub>									
<u>Target:</u>											
Reduce poverty level by at least	Parameter		2016	2017	2018						
50%, at	- drameter	2015				2019	2020				
international poverty line, from the year 2015 to the year 2025.	1. Population size, number (Pops) refer to 4.1iii										
Indicator:  Reduction rate of poverty headcount ratio, at international	2. Poverty international poverty line (INPL)										
poverty line, (dpovl)	3. Number of pepole under the international poverty line (INPoor)										

	4. Poverty headcount ratio at international poverty lines (% of population), phrl								
	<ul> <li>Sources of verification and Specific comment</li> </ul>	s:							
<u>PC 4.1v</u> Agricultural GDP	What major action was undertaken in the								
and Poverty Reduction	last two years (or since the last BR report)  to help acheive this target? <b>ACTION</b> <sub>4.1v</sub>								
<u>Target:</u>									
Contribute to poverty reduction	Parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		
by reducing the gap between the	1. Farm gate price in current lcu per kg, FgPi								
wholesale price and	(i=1,2,3,4,5)								
farm-gate price, by	1a. Commidity 1: name								
50% by the year	1b. Commidity 2: name								
2025, from the year	1c. Commidity 3: name								
2015.	1d. Commidity 4: name								
<u>Indicator:</u>	1e. Commidity 5: name								
Reduction rate of the gap between the	2. Farm gate sale value in current lcu, FgSi (i=1,2,3,4,5)								
wholesale price and	2a. Commidity 1: name								
farmgate price	2b. Commidity 2: name								
(ţfgws)	2c. Commidity 3: name								
	2d. Commidity 4: name								
	2e. Commidity 5: name								
	3. Average weighted farm gate price in current lcu per kg, wFgP								
	4. Wholesale/Market Price, WsPi								
	4a. Commidity 1: name								
	4b. Commidity 2: name								
	4c. Commidity 3: name								

	4d. Commidit	ty 4: name							
	4e. Commidit								
	5. Wholesale,	/Market Value, WsVi							
	5a. Commidit	y 1: name							
	5b. Commidit	zy 2: name							
	5c. Commidit	Sc. Commidity 3: name							
	5d. Commidit	5d. Commidity 4: name							
	5e. Commidit	y 5: name							
	6. Average weighted Wholesale/Market Price, wWsP								
	■ Sources of verification and other specific comments:								
PC 4.2									
<b>Inclusive PPPs for</b>	What major a	action was undertaken in the							
commodity value chains	last two years	s (or since the last BR report) ve this target? <b>ACTION</b> <sub>4.2</sub>				Enter	text		
<u>Target:</u>									
Establish and/or	Commoditie	ne.	1		2	3	4	5	6
strengthen inclusive public-private	Commoditie	<del></del>						<u> </u>	
partnerships (PPP) for at least five (5)	1. Priority cor	mmodity value chains, list {PC <sub>i</sub> }							
priority agricultural commodity value									
chains with strong linkage to	Commodit	Parameter		2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
smallholder agriculture, by	1	1a. Total volume (tonnes) of sa	les for						
2025.		the priority commodity i, V <sub>Ti</sub>							
		1b. Volume (tonnes) of sales at	t						
<u>Indicator:</u>		farmgate level of the priority							
Number of priority		commodity i, V <sub>smhi</sub>	ograted						
agricultural		1c. Number of smallholders int into the value chain of the prio	_						
commodity value		commodity i, N <sub>smhi</sub>	rity						
chains for which a		Commodity i, issumi							

PPP is established		1d. Total number of suppliers that are			
with strong linkage		supplying the market of the value chain			
to smallholder		of the priority commodity i, NT <sub>i</sub>			
agriculture (Nc)	2	2a. Total volume (tonnes) of sales for			
		the priority commodity ii, V <sub>Tii</sub>			
		2b. Volume (tonnes) of sales at			
		farmgate level of the priority			
		commodity ii, V <sub>smhi</sub>			
		2c. Number of smallholders integrated			
		into the value chain of the priority			
		commodity ii, N <sub>smhi</sub>			
		2d. Total number of suppliers that are			
		supplying the market of the value chain			
		of the priority commodity ii, NT <sub>ii</sub>			
	3	3a. Total volume (tonnes) of sales for			
		the priority commodity iii, V <sub>Tiii</sub>			
		3b. Volume (tonnes) of sales at			
		farmgate level of the priority			
		commodity ii, V <sub>smhii</sub>			
		3c. Number of smallholders integrated			
		into the value chain of the priority			
		commodity iii, N <sub>smhii</sub>			
		3d. Total number of suppliers that are			
		supplying the market of the value chain			
		of the priority commodity iii, NT <sub>iii</sub>			
	4	4a. Total volume (tonnes) of sales for			
		the priority commodity iv, V <sub>Tiv</sub>			
		4b. Volume (tonnes) of sales at			
		farmgate level of the priority			
		commodity iv, V <sub>smiv</sub>			
		4c. Number of smallholders integrated			
		into the value chain of the priority			
		commodity iv, N <sub>smiv</sub>			
		4d. Total number of suppliers that are			
		supplying the market of the value chain			
		of the priority commodity iv, NT <sub>iv</sub>			
		or the priority commodity iv, ivi			

	5	5a. Total volume (tonnes) of	f sales for								
		the priority commodity v, V	Тv								
		5b. Volume (tonnes) of sales	s at								
		farmgate level of the priorit	у								
		commodity v, V <sub>smv</sub>									
		5c. Number of smallholders	integrated								
		into the value chain of the p	riority								
		commodity v, N <sub>smv</sub>									
		5d. Total number of supplie									
		supplying the market of the									
		of the priority commodity v,	, NT <sub>v</sub>								
	- 6		C								
	• Sources of	verification and other specification	nc comments:								
PC 4.3											
Youth job in	What major	action was undertaken in the									
agriculture	last two year	rs (or since the last BR report)			Ente	r text					
_	to help achei	ve this target? <b>ACTION</b> <sub>4.3</sub>									
<u>Target:</u>											
Create job opportunities for at		7.	2045		2047						
least 30% of the	Parameter/	Sub-parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
youth in	1. Total num	ber of youth at working age									
agricultural value	in the countr	y, TN <sub>Ythi</sub>									
chains, by the year 2025.	2. Number o	f youth that is engaged in									
2025.	new jobs in a	gricultural value chains,									
	(cumulative	counting from the year									
<b>Indicator:</b>	2015), AgNY	th									
Percentage of youth	2a. Numb	er of youth who do any									
that is engaged in	_	e related work as paid									
new job		es for any agriculture									
opportunities in agriculture value		e or SME (AgN <sub>yth</sub> E)									
chains ( <b>ţYth</b> )		er of youth who work as									
3, 41,	·	oyed in their own business									
		sion or on their own farm									
	(AgN <sub>yth</sub> SE										

	2c. Number of youth who work 15 hours per week or more as unpaid workers in a family-operated enterprise (AgN <sub>yth</sub> FE)						
	Sources of verification and other specific comments:						
PC 4.4 Women participation in Agriculture	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? <b>ACTION</b> <sub>4.4</sub>		Eı	nter text			
<i>Target:</i> Ensure that 20% of		2045		2047	2010	2010	2022
rural women have	Parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
access to productive assets, including land, credit, inputs	Total number of women engaged in agriculture (Ntw)						
and financial services and information	2. Number of women that make decisions about agricultural production ( $NDE_1$ )						
(empowered) by 2023.	3. Number of women that have access to and decision-making power about productive resources (NDE <sub>2</sub> )						
<i>Indicator:</i> Proportion of rural	4. Number of women that have control over use of income (NDE <sub>3</sub> )						
women that are empowered in agriculture, (twE)	5. Number of women that in leaderhip in the community $(NDE_4)$						
	6. Number of women that have time allocation for leisure (NDE <sub>5</sub> )						
	7. Number of women empowered in agriculture [NwE = f (NDE1, NDE2, NDE3, NDE4, NDE5)]						
	Sources of verification and other specific comments:						

#### PC 5.1 Intra-African What major action was undertaken in the Trade in last two years (or since the last BR report) Enter text agriculture to help acheive this target? ACTION<sub>5.1</sub> commodities and services Target: **Parameter Currency** Triple intra-African trade in agricultural 1. Select the trade value reporting currency commodities and services, by the year 2025 from the year 1. Current value of intra-African trade (imports and Exports) of agriculture goods and services 2015.

#### **Indicator:**

Growth rate of the value of trade of agricultural commodities and services within Africa, in constant US dollars (tIAT)

Parameter 1.1a: Value of intra-African imports of agriculture goods, in current value (vMg)								
SITC Description and Coding System	Agricultural products (Standard International Trade Classification or SITC sections 0, 1, 2, 4 minus 27 and 28 in section 2)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	

	· L						
Parameter	1.1b: Value of intra-African imports of agriculture services, in current	value (\	/Ms)				
SITC Description and Coding System	Agricultural products (Standard International Trade Classification or SITC sections 0, 1, 2, 4 minus 27 and 28 in section 2)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	20
1.	4.2. Value Circus Africa and Grant III	1 . / ):	. \				
	<b>1.2a</b> : Value of intra-African exports of agriculture goods, in current val	aiue (vx	3) 	l	l	l	
SITC Description and Coding System	Agricultural products (Standard International Trade Classification or SITC sections 0, 1, 2, 4 minus 27 and 28 in section 2)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	20
							<u> </u>
							<u> </u>
							<u> </u>

			<u> </u>
			<u> </u>

Parameter 1	1.2b: Value of intra-African exports of agriculture services, in current	value (v	'Xs)				
SITC Description and Coding System	Agricultural products (Standard International Trade Classification or SITC sections 0, 1, 2, 4 minus 27 and 28 in section 2)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020

			+		<del>                                     </del>	-	<del>                                     </del>	
				I				
	2. Volume of intra-African trade (imports and Ex	ports) of agriculture	goods a	nd servi	ces (UN	IIT)		
Parameter	<b>2.1a:</b> Volume of intra-African imports of agricult	ure goods, (qMg)						
SITC Description and Coding System	Agricultural products (Standard International Trade Classification or SITC sections 0, 1, 2, 4 minus 27 and 28 in section 2)	Measurement Unit (e.g. metric tons)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	20
	-,							
			1					
			+					
			1					

SITC Description and Coding System	Agricultural products (Standard International Trade Classification or SITC sections 0, 1, 2, 4 minus 27 and 28 in section 2)	Measurement Unit (e.g. metric tons)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Parameter	2.2a: Volume of intra-African exports of agricult	ure goods. (aXg)						
SITC Description and Coding System	Agricultural products (Standard International Trade Classification or SITC sections 0, 1, 2, 4 minus 27 and 28 in section 2)	Measurement Unit (e.g. metric tons)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020

Parameter 2	2.2a: Volume of intra-African exports of agricult	ure goods, (qXg)						
SITC Description and Coding System	Agricultural products (Standard International Trade Classification or SITC sections 0, 1, 2, 4 minus 27 and 28 in section 2)	Measurement Unit (e.g. metric tons)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020

Parameter	<b>2.2b:</b> Volume of intra-African exports of agricult	ure services, (qXs)						
SITC Description and Coding System	Agricultural products (Standard International Trade Classification or SITC sections 0, 1, 2, 4 minus 27 and 28 in section 2)	Measurement Unit (e.g. metric tons)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
								<del>                                     </del>

	Sources of verification and Specific comments:								
PC 5.2i									
Intra-African	What major action was undertaken in the								
Trade Policies	last two years (or since the last BR report)		E	Inter text					
and institutional	to help acheive this target? <b>ACTION</b> <sub>5.2i</sub>								
conditions.									
<u>Target:</u>									
Fully establish trade	Parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	3	2019	202	20
facilitation measures by	1. Physical infrastructure (PI), rank or rate from 0 to 100								
reaching 100% of	1a. Road Connectivity 0-100 (best)								
Trade Facilitation	1b. Quality of road Infrastructure 1-7 (best)								
Index by 2025.	1c. Rail road Density km/square km								
<u>Indicator:</u>	1d. Efficiency of train services 1-7 (best)								
Trade Facilitation	1e. Airport connectivity score								
Index (TFI)	1f. Efficiency of air transport services 1-7 (best)								
	1g. Liner shipping connectivity 0-100 (best)								
	1h. Efficiency of seaport services 1-7 (best)								
	2. Information and communication technology (ICT),								
	rank or rate from 0 to 100								
	2a. Mobile Cellular Telephone Subscriptions per 100 pop								
	2b. Mobile-Broadband Subscriptions per 100 pop								
	2c. Fixed-Broadband Subscriptions Internet per 100 pop								
	2d. Fibre-Broadband Subscriptions Internet per 100 pop								
	2e. Internet Users % adult p[opulation								
	3. Border administration (BA), rank or rate from 0 to 100								
	3a. Time to export: Border compliance (hours)								
	3b. Time to export: Documentary compliance (hours)								

3c. Cost to export: Border compliance (USD)						
3d. Cost to export: Documentary compliance (USD)						
3e. Time to import: Border compliance (hours)						
3f. Time to import: Documentary compliance (hours)						
3g. Cost to import: Border compliance (USD)						
3h. Cost to import: Documentary compliance (USD)						
4. Bilateral Agricultural trade-related agreements (ATA), rank or rate from 0 to 100						
4. Number of countries with bilateral agricultural trade related agreements (NTA)						
5. Immigration (IM), rank or rate from 0 to 100						
5a. Number of countries with visa free entry privileges (NVF)						
5b. Number of countries with visa on arrival (VA).						
Sources of verification and other specific comments:						
What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? <b>ACTION</b> <sub>5.2ii</sub>			Enter text			
Parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
1. Indicator of food price anomalies (IFPA) applied to the Food Consumer Price Index (food CPI)						
1a. January						
1b. February						
<del>                                   </del>						

## **Indicator:**

PC 5.2ii

Intra-African **Trade Policies** and institutional conditions

Target: Reduce the incidence of high food price volatility Indicator of Domestic Food Price Anomalies by 50% 1 Standard Deviation by 2025.

Domestic food price anomalies

Parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
1. Indicator of food price anomalies (IFPA) applied to the						
Food Consumer Price Index (food CPI)						
1a. January						
1b. February						
1c. March						
1d. April						
1e. May						
1f. June						
1g. July						

1h. August							
1i. September							
1j. October							
1k. November							
1l. December							
<ul> <li>Sources of verification and Specific</li> </ul>	comments:						
What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report)  to help acheive this target? <b>ACTION</b> <sub>6.1i</sub>							
	2015					2019	2020
Parameter	2013	2016	2017	7 2	2018	2019	2020
Total number of farm, pastoral, and fishery-based households,     NagHH							
2. Number of farm, pastoral, and fishery-based households that have improved their resilience capacity to							

### **Indicator:**

year 2025.

to climate variability and related risks, by the

PC 6.1i

risks

Target:

Ensure that at least 30% of farm, pastoral, and fishery-based households have improved their resilience capacity

Resilience to climate related

Percentage of farm, pastoral, and fisherybased households that have improved thier resilience capacity to climate and weather related shocks, (tRAgHhi)

• Sources of verification and other specific comments:

climate variability and related risks,

NRagHH

<u> 6.1ii</u>							
Resilience to	What major action was undertaken in	the					
climate related	last two years (or since the last BR rep	oort)		Er	nter text		
risks	to help acheive this target? <b>ACTION</b> <sub>6.1</sub>	ii					
Target:							
Ensure that at least						2019	2020
30% of agricultural	Item	2015	2016	2017	2018	2013	2020
land is placed under sustainable land	1. Agriculture area under SLWM in						
management	ha, ASLWM						
including climate	2. Total agriculture land in ha, L1						
smart agriculture (CSA) practices.							
	<ul> <li>Sources of verification and other s</li> </ul>	specific comr	nents:				
<u>Indicator:</u>		P					
Share of agriculture land under SLM							
practices (SSLWM)							
26.2							
nvestment in	What major action was undertaken in	the					
esilience	last two years (or since the last BR rep	ort)		Er	nter text		
ouilding	to help acheive this target? ACTION <sub>6.2</sub>						
<u>Target:</u>							
Create permanent							
investment budget-	Item			2020	If	No, comment	
lines and enabling	1. Existence of policies and strategies regarding disaster						
environment to	preparedness and risk management (Yes or No), EI <sub>RB1</sub>						
respond to spending needs on	2. Existence of government budget-lines on Early warning and						
resilience building	response systems including social safe	ety nets (Yes	or No), El <sub>RB2</sub>				

							_
initiatives,	3. Proportion of households covered by weather-based index						
especially for	insurance and/or social protection schemes, El <sub>RB3</sub>						
disaster	3a. Number of households covered by weather-based index						
preparedness plans, functioning early	insurance or social protection scheme	es, z,					
warning and	3b. Total number of vulnerable house	holds and hous	seholds				
response systems,	at risk, Z						
social safety nets,							
and weather-based	Sources of verification and other specific comments:						
index insurance,							
from 2015 to 2025.							
<u>Indicator:</u>							
Existence of							
government							
budget-lines and							
enabling							
environment to							
respond to							
spending needs on							
resilience building							
initiatives (EI <sub>RB</sub> )							
PC 7.1							
Country capacity	What major action was undertaken in the	e					_
for evidence	last two years (or since the last BR report			Ento	er text		
based planning,	to help acheive this target? <b>ACTION</b> <sub>7.1</sub>						
implementation							
and M&E							
Tanach	Item	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
<i>Target:</i> Reach at least 69 for	Agricultural Statistics Capacity Index,						
the Index of	ASCI						
capacity to generate							
and use agriculture	• Courses of verification and other and	cific commont					
statistical data and information (ASCI),	Sources of verification and other specific comments:						
by 2025.							
by 2025.							
<b>Indicator:</b>							
Index of capacity to							
generate and use							

agriculture statistical data and information, (ASCI) for the current review period (2020-2021).

## **PC 7.2**

Peer Review and Mutual Accountability

#### Target:

Foster alignment, harmonization and coordination among multi-sectorial efforts and multiinstitutional platforms for peer review, mutual learning and mutual accountability, (reach 100% for the Existence of inclusive institutionalized mechanisms and platforms for mutual accountability and peer review, ECI) by

#### Indicator:

2020.

Existence of inclusive institutionalized mechanisms for mutual accountability and

What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? **ACTION**<sub>7.2</sub>

Enter text

Parameter/Sub-Parameter		As at 2021	If No, comment
		Yes or No	
1. Adherence to mutual	i. Shared vision, objectives and		
accountability principles (%),	strategies		
AMAP = (MAPS/6) x 100	ii. agreed performance indicators		
	iii. evidence based analysis		
1a. Number of mutual	iv. inclusive of key stakeholders		
accountability principles	v. transparent dialogue		
satisfied by the country, MAPS	Vi. commitment to implement		
	recommendation		
	1. Review/JSR Steering Committee		
2 Eviatores of mutual	2. Review/JSR Secretariat		
2. Existence of mutual	3. Review/JSR Terms of Reference		
accountability mechanism and platform: EMAP	4. Financial and human resources		
plationii. ElviAr	5. Broad group of relevant		
2a. Number of best practices	stakeholders for the review or JSR		
satisfied by the country, BPS	6 Accessment of existing		
Jacistica by the country, brs	agricultural policy dialogue and		
	review processes; data quality and		
	analytical capacities		

peer review, (ECI) for		7. Commi	ssioned review/JSR		
the current review		relevant s	•		
period (2020-2021).			/JSR review Team		
			/JSR Report		
			w /JSR validation meeting		
		11. Action			
		•	iences to share with other		
		countries			
			o not have this mutual		
		accounta	bility mechanism		
		i. Develop	oment results		
	3. Coverage of agricultural				
	review report: CARR	ii. Agricul	ture sector performance		
		iii. Financ	ial and non-financial		
	3a. Number of key areas	commitm	ents including by NSAs		
	covered by the country's	iv. policy	iand institutional review		
	review report, NKAA		nent of linkages		
			recommendations		
		vii We ha	ive not carried out any		
			n this period		
		Teviewsii	i tins period		
	<ul> <li>Sources of verification and of</li> </ul>	other enecifi	c comments:		
	- Sources of verification and C	otilei speciii	c comments.		
DC = 0					
PC 7.3		1	T		
Biennial	What major action was underta				
Agriculture	last two years (or since the last			Enter	text
Review Process	to help acheive this target? ACT	TION <sub>7.3</sub>			
Tangot					
<i>Target:</i> Conduct a biennial					
	Parameter/Sub-Parameter			2021 Prog	ress
	1. Draft country Biennial Report	t. BR <sub>1</sub>			
	·			. 2 /	
	1.1. which stakeholders part	cicipated in t	he validation of your country BR	report? (tick	all appropriate).
monitoring and					
monitoring and reporting progress made in					
Agriculture Review Process that involves tracking,	1. Draft country Biennial Report		he validation of your country BR		

implementing the Malabo Declaration, by availing the regular country Biennial Report to the AU Assembly.

## **Indicator:**

Country Biennial Report submission, (BR)

Stakeholder group	Yes or No	If No, comment
i. Government		
ii. CSOs		
iii. Private Sector		
iv. Research and		
knowledge		
organizations		
v. Farmer		
Organizations		
vi.Development		
partners/donors		
Vii. Did not validate		
the report		

This is to generated by the eBR system

Parameter/Sub-Parameter	2021 Progress
2. Quality of the Draft Country Biennial Report, BR <sub>2</sub>	Generated by eBR
2a. Number of parameters reported by the country, n	Generated by eBR
2b. Total number of parameters reflected in the country reporting format, N	Generated by eBR

To be completed by the REC

Parameter/Sub-Parameter	2021 Progress
3. Revised Draft of the Country Biennial	
Report, BR <sub>3</sub>	
3a. How was the report validated at	
the regional level (tick one)?	
	i. The report has been reviewed by the REC, and the country has participated in the
	discussion and taken into account all suggested amendments if any (or when the REC has
	reviewed the report and has no suggested amendment)

ii. The report is reviewed by the REC, and the country has participated in the discussion
and has taken into account some of the suggested amendment if any
iii. The report is reviewed by the REC, and the country has not participated in the
discussion or not taken into account all of the suggested amendments if any

To be completed by AUC/NPCA

Parameter/Sub-Parameter	2021 Progress
4. Submission of the Biennial Report by the country to the AUC/NPCA through RECs, BR <sub>4</sub>	
4.1 Were there any comments of the report sent to REC by AUC? (yes or no or NA)	
4.2.1. If yes, did the REC respond satisfactorily? (yes or no)	
4.2.2. If No, explain	

• Sources of verification and other specific comments:

Observations on the Evaluation and other general comments