ReSAKSS



2013 ReSAKSS Annual Conference

Advancing Mutual Accountability Through Agriculture Joint Sector Reviews

Godfrey Bahiigwa
ReSAKSS Africawide Coordinator
IFPRI

King Fahd Palace Hotel Dakar, Senegal November 12-13, 2013

What is Mutual Accountability?

Mutual accountability is a process by which two or more parties hold one another accountable for the commitments they have voluntarily made to one another

Mutual accountability (MA) is a core principle of the Comprehensive Africa Agriculture Development Program (CAADP)

A mutual accountability framework (MAF) for CAADP was developed by NCPA in 2011 to guide mutual accountability processes at continental, regional and country levels

Principles of Mutual Accountability

A shared vision or agenda among the cooperating parties

Common objectives and strategies aimed at achieving the vision

Jointly agreed performance indicators based on mutually agreed performance criteria

Genuine dialogue and debate process based on consent, common values and trust

Elements of an Effective Mutual Accountability Process

Evidence-based: need technical credibility to minimize biases

Ownership: all concerned stakeholders need to be involved from the start

Inclusive debate: open and transparent discussions

Behavior change – towards better performance outcomes based on evidence, ownership and debate

What is a Joint Sector Review (JSR)?

- A joint sector review (JSR) is one way of operationalizing the mutual accountability framework at country level
- > The JSR process creates a platform to:
 - » assess the performance and results of the agriculture sector
 - » assist governments to assess effectiveness of sector policies and strategies
 - » assess how well state and non state actors have implemented pledges and commitments (laid out in CAADP compacts, NAIPs, and other agreements)
 - » guide decisions to continue with or make adjustments in implementation of NAIP or agreement

Principles of a Joint Sector Review

- National ownership and leadership
- Relevance to NAIP or cooperation agreement
- > Inclusive participation
- Commitment to results by all participants
- Impartiality and evidence-based
- Enhance national planning
- Sensitivity to gender
- Learning experience

Purpose and benefits of the Joint Sector Review

The primary purpose of a JSR is to determine and evaluate observed results of sector performance and their comparison with the intended results or targets in NAIP or agreement

Therefore, the JSR:

- » allows diverse stakeholders to get insights into and influence overall policies and priorities of the sector
- » serves as a management and policy support tool for inclusive stakeholder planning, programming, budget preparation and execution, monitoring and evaluation, and overall development of the sector
- Existing country JSRs need strengthening in terms of design, stakeholder inclusion, data analysis, dialogue and improved quality of implementation.

What to monitor? five main areas

- Development results e.g. income growth, poverty and hunger reduction, food and nutrition security, etc.
- Overall agricultural sector growth targets, with specific subsector and commodity targets
- Required financial and non-financial resources to effectively implement the cooperation agreement
- Policies, programs, institutions, and implementation processes
- Linkages (pathways to achieve the development results), enabling environment, and assumptions

Content, scope, data and methods of a JSR

- Content of JSR and scope depends on cooperation agreement
- Cooperation agreements (CAADP compact, NAIP, GAFSP agreements, New Alliance cooperation, framework, etc.)
- Five main areas to review:
 - » development results
 - » agricultural sector performance
 - » financial and non-financial resources
 - » policies, programs, institutions, and implementation processes
 - » linkages, enabling environment, and assumptions
- Need detailed data on different variables, measured at different levels and over many years
- Multiple methods guided by mutually-agreed actions, targets and milestones; and analysis of SWOTs

Outputs required for the JSR

- Public Expenditure Review—government commitments, expenditures and alignment
- Donor Expenditure Review—commitments, disbursements, and alignment
- > Civil Society Scorecard—commitments and alignment
- Private Sector Scorecard—commitments and investments
- Policy Implementation Report—state and non-state actors
- Agriculture Sector Performance Review
- Impact Scorecard—progress and impact on poverty and hunger reduction, food and nutrition security

Building Blocks of a Joint Sector Review

- Set up a JSR steering committee chaired by Ministry of Agriculture
- Establish JSR secretariat
- Develop terms of reference for the JSR
- Mobilize resources (human and financial)
- Constitute review team
- Undertake technical studies
- Organize review and dialogue
- Draw implementation and follow-up plan for the recommendations from the JSR

Technical Support Available to Countries

➤ IFPRI and ReSAKSS have assigned staff to each of the initial JSR countries: Burkina Faso, Ethiopia, Ghana, Mozambique and Tanzania

AUC and NPCA contact governments to agree on JSR launch dates

AUC, NPCA, IFPRI and ReSAKSS have agreed to field joint missions to countries to in order to provide coordinated support

Cross-country learning opportunities exist from countries with experience in JSRs, e.g. Rwanda

Recently Revamped ReSAKSS Website

www.resakss.org