







Delivering on the Accelerated Agricultural Growth and Transformation for Shared Prosperity and Improved Livelihoods in Africa

Document for preparing country Biennial Review report on progress made for achieving the Malabo Declaration Goals and Targets

Country progress Reporting Template

| progress made for implement Improved Livelihoods. The us | ng Template has been prepared to support African Union Member State ting Commitments in the June 2014 AU Heads of States Malabo De se of the template should be guided by the Technical Guidelines provid for calculating each of the performance indicators to report progress on a | eclaration on Accelerated Agricultural Growth and led as part of the biennial report preparation tools, w | Transformation for Shared Prosperity |
|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| Acknowledgments to technica | al partners institutions namely ReSAKSS, IFPRI, AGRA, FARA, FAO or their technical, logistical and financial support to the process of devel | | ell as the Regional Economic Commun |

Country Performance Reporting Template on progress made for implementing the June 2014 Malabo Declaration

Country Name: XXXXXX

Performance Category

Country Information

PC 1.1

Country CAADP Process

Target:

CAADP process to be fully completed at the country level: **Reach 100% of the completion, by the year 2018**.

Indicator:

CAADP process completion Index in (CAADPPro)

- Specific actions taken so far for the target:
- Achievements on completing CAADP Process:

| Progress item | 2016 Progress (p_i) "Yes" = 100% "No" = 0 | Comments |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Existence of Communication on internalizing CAADP, p1 | | |
| 2. Existence of National CAADP Roadmap for implementing Malabo, p2 | | |
| 3. Existence of NAIP Appraisal Report, p3 | | |
| 4. Existence of the New NAIP, p4 | | |
| 5. NAIP implementation reflected in national budget, p5 | | |
| 6. Existence of NAIP M&E System, p6 | | |
| 7. Existence of NAIP implementation progress Report, p7 | | |
| CAADP process completion Index is : CAADPPro = Average (p _i) | | |

• Sources of verification and other specific comments:

_

PC 1.2 CAADP based Cooperation, Partnership & Alliance

Target:

Multi-sectorial coordination body and multi-stakeholder body fully established and operational at national level (reach 100% for the Quality of multi-sectorial and multistakeholder coordination body, Qc) by 2018.

Indicator:

Existence of, and Quality of multisectorial and multistakeholder coordination body (Qc)

- Specific actions taken so far for the target:
- Achievements on establishing Multi-sectorial coordination body and multi-stakeholder body:

| Progress item | Progress (Qc _i) | Weight (w _i) | $Qc_i x w_i$ | Comments |
|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|----------|
| - Existence of the TORs, p _{TOR1} | | | | |
| - Reflection of the key elements, p _{TOR2} | | | | |
| - Representation of stakeholders, p _{TOR3} | | | | |
| - Relevance of membership, p _{TOR4} | | | | |
| - Existence of List of official nominees (number + seniority) and affiliation, p_{TOR5} | | | | |
| 1. Existence of quality terms of reference: Qc ₁ = average (p _{TOR(i)}) | | 10% | | |
| - Performance for meetings held, p _{IMP1} | | | | |
| - Level of engagement, p _{IMP2} | | | | |
| 2. Level of implementation of the coordination actions $Qc_2 = (p_{IMP1} + p_{IMP2})/2$ | | 25% | | |
| - Total number of organizations, N _{org} | | | | |
| - Total number of meetings organized, N _{mO} | | | | |
| - Number of organizations present at the meetings organized, $\sum\!N_{\text{orgi}}$ | | | | |
| 3. Level of participation and inclusiveness, $Qc_3 = \sum (N_{Orgi}) / (N_{org} \times N_{mO})$ | - | 25% | | |

| | - Total number of recommendations taken during the evaluation period, N _{RT} | | | | |
|--|--|----------|----------|--|--|
| | - Total number of decisions taken with out of the number of recommendations during the evaluation period, N _{DT} | | | | |
| | - Number of decisions implemented, N _{DI} | | | | |
| | 4. Level of commitment to decisions, Qc4 = (N _{DI} / N _{RT}) | 20% | | | |
| | Total expected senior attendance per meeting, T _{SA} | | | | |
| | Total number of meetings organized, N _{mO} | | | | |
| | Observed total senior attendances, ∑O _{SAi} | | | | |
| | 5. Level of Representation, $Qc_5 = \sum O_{SA(i)} / (N_{mO} \times T_{SA})$ | 20% | | | |
| | Existence of, and Quality of multi-sectorial and multi- stakeholder coordination body, $Qc = \sum (Qc_i \times w_i)_{i=1 \text{ to } 5}$ | | | | |
| | Sources of verification and other specific comments: - | | | | |
| PC 1.3 CAADP based Policy & Institutional | Specific actions taken so far for the target: Achievements on evidence based policies and institutions: | | | | |
| Review/Setting/ Support | Item | Progress | Comments | | |
| <u>Target:</u> | - Total number of policies and strategies in the NAIP, TN | NP | | | |
| Evidence-based | | | | | |

NEP

policies and

institutions that support planning and implementation - Number of policies and strategies that are evidence-based,

| are established and implemented by the country to deliver | | 1. Evidence-based policies and strategie EPE = NEP/TNP | es evidence (%), | | |
|--|----------------------------|---|------------------------------|------|--|
| on Malabo (reach 100% for the Evidence-based | | - Number of policies and strategies elem required supportive institutions (laws an | | : | |
| policies, supportive institutions and corresponding human resources, | | - Number of institutions (laws and regular support policies and strategies NIP | ations) <u>that exist</u> to | | |
| EIP) by 2018. | | 2. Supportive institutions -laws and reg EPI = NIP/NRI | ulations- (%), : | | |
| Indicator: Evidence-based policies, supportive | | - Number of required fulltime staff posit M&E, FTP | ions for planning and | | |
| institutions and corresponding human resources | | - Number of staffing positions filled, FTS | | | |
| (EIP) | | 3. Full-time equivalent staff dedicated to planning, implementation and M&E with agriculture (%), FTE = FTS/FTP | • | | |
| | | Evidence-based policies, supportive instructions corresponding human resources, EIP = (EPE + EPI + FTE)/3 | titutions and | | |
| | • Sources | of verification and other specific comm | ents: | | |
| PC 2.1i | Specific | actions taken so far for the target: | | | |
| Public | - | _ | | | |
| Expenditures to Agriculture. | ■ <u>Achieve</u> | ements on public expenditures: | | | |
| <u>Target:</u> | | Item | 2015 | 2016 | |
| Increase public expenditures to agriculture as part of national | _ | 1. Total Public Expenditure in local currency unit (lcu): TPE | | | |
| | | | | | |

| expenditures, to at least 10% from the year 2015 to 2025. | | 2. Public Agriculture Expenditure in local currency units (lcu): PAE | | |
|---|----------|---|-------|------|
| Indicator: Public agriculture expenditure as share of total public | _ | Public agriculture expenditure as share of total public expenditure (in %), is: ţPAE = 100 x PAE / TPE | | |
| expenditure (in %), is: (ţPAE) | Source - | s of verification and other specific comme | ents: | |
| PC 2.1ii Public Expenditures to | - | c actions taken so far for the target: ements on intensity of agricultural spendi | ng: | |
| Agriculture. | | | | |
| Target: | | Item | 2015 | 2016 |
| Ensure adequate intensity of agricultural | | 1. Public Agriculture Expenditure in local currency units (Icu): PAE | | |
| spending by keeping annual public agriculture | | 2. Agriculture Value Added (Icu), AgGDP | | |
| expenditure as % of agriculture value added to no less than (or at a minimum of) 19% from the year 2015 | | Public Agriculture Expenditure as % of agriculture value added, PAE _{AgGDP} = 100 x PAE / AgGDP | | |
| to the year 2025. | ■ Source | s of verification and other specific comme | ents: | |
| Indicator: Public Agriculture Expenditure as % of | _ | | | |

agriculture value added (PAE_{AgGDP})

PC 2.1iii Public Expenditures to Agriculture.

Target:

Ensure that Official Development Assistance (ODA) committed to implement the NAIPs is fully disbursed to countries. The target is to have 100% ODA disbursement annually from 2015 to 2025.

Indicator:

ODA disbursed to agriculture as % of commitments (ODA)

- Specific actions taken so far for the target:
- Achievements on ODA disbursement:

| Item | 2015 | 2016 |
|--|------|------|
| 1. Official Development Assistance (ODA) for agriculture, livestock, forestry, and fishery, gross disbursements (US\$), agODAD | | |
| 2. ODA for agriculture, livestock, forestry, and fishery, commitments (US\$): agODAC | | |
| ODA disbursed to agriculture as % of commitments (%), ODA = 100 x agODAD/agODAC | | |

Sources of verification and other specific comments:

-

PC 2.2

Domestic Private Sector Investment in Agriculture.

Target:

Ensure that government investment leverage at least X times domestic private investment in agriculture sector by 2025. (SILENT).

- Specific actions taken so far for the target:
- Achievements on domestic private investment:

| Item | 2015 | 2016 |
|--|------|------|
| 1. Total Agricultural Investments, TAI | | |
| 2. Government Agriculture Expenditure (lcu), GAE | | |
| 3. Official Development Assistance (ODA) for agriculture, forestry, and fishing, gross disbursements, agODAD | | |
| 4. Foreign Direct Investment, FDI | | |

| Indicator: Ratio of private sector investment to | | 5. Domestic Private Investment in Agriculture, DPrIA = TAI - GEA - agODAD - FDI | | |
|--|---------------|--|------|------|
| government investment in agriculture (tDPrPb) | | Ratio of domestic private sector investment to government investment in agriculture (%), is tDPrPb = 1 x DPrIA / GAE | .00 | |
| | • Source - | es of verification and other specific comments: | | |
| PC 2.3 Foreign Private Sector Investment in Agriculture. | - | c actions taken so far for the target: rements on foreign private sector investment: | | |
| <u>Target:</u> | | Item | 2015 | 2016 |
| Ensure that government | | 1. Foreign Direct Investment, FDI | | |
| investment leverage at least Y times | | 2. Government Agriculture Expenditure (Icu), GAE | | |
| foreign private direct investment in agriculture sector by | | Ratio of foreign private direct investment to government investment in agriculture(%), tFPrPb = | | |

Indicator:

2025. (SILENT).

Ratio of foreign private direct investment to government investment in agriculture (ţFPrPb) • Sources of verification and other specific comments:

100 x FDI / GAE

PC 2.4 Market Access.

Target:

Ensure that 100% of men and women engaged in agriculture have access to financial services to be able to transact agriculture business, by 2025.

Indicator:

Proportion of men and women engaged in agriculture with access to financial services (tAgFs)

- Specific actions taken so far for the target:
- Achievements on market access:

| Item | 2016 |
|--|------|
| - Total number of men engaged in agriculture, NtAgM | |
| - Total number women engaged in agriculture, NtAgW | |
| 1. Total number of men and women engaged in agriculture, NtAg = NtAgM + NtAgW | |
| - Number of men engaged in agriculture that have access to financial services, NfsAgM | |
| - Number of women engaged in agriculture that have access to financial services, NfsAgW | |
| 2. Number of men and women engaged in agriculture that have access to financial services, NfsAg = NfsAgM + NfsAgW | |
| Proportion of men and women engaged in agriculture with access to financial services, is : \$\frac{1}{4}\text{gFs}_t = \frac{100}{4} \text{NfsAg} / \text{NtAg}\$ | |

• Sources of verification and other specific comments:

PC 3.1i

Access to Agriculture inputs and technologies

Target:

Ensure minimum use of fertilizer for African agriculture development at level of consumption of at least 50 kilograms per hectare of arable land, from 2015 to 2025.

Indicator:

Fertilizer consumption (kilogram of nutrients per hectare of arable land) (Fz)

- Specific actions taken so far for the target:
- Achievements on fertilizer use (organic and/or inorganic):

| Item | 2015 | 2016 |
|---|------|------|
| 1. Total fertilizers consumption (N+P, N+P+K) in Kg, Fc | | |
| 2. Arable Land and Permanent Crops in hectare, L | | |
| Fertilizer consumption (kilogram of nutrients per hectare of arable land), Fz = Fc /L | | |

• Sources of verification and other specific comments:

-

PC 3.1ii

Access to Agriculture inputs and technologies

Target:

Increase the size of irrigated areas (as per its value observed in the year 2000), by 100% by the year 2025.

Indicator:

Growth rate of the size of irrigated area (R_iIA)

- Specific actions taken so far for the target:
- Achievements on irrigated areas:

| Item | 2000 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
|---|------|------|------|------|------|
| 1&2- Irrigated areas (IA) | | | | | |
| Growth rate of the size of irrigated area (in %), R;IA (%) = 100 x (IA ₂₀₁₆ -IA ₂₀₀₀) /IA ₂₀₀₀ | | | | | |

• Sources of verification and Specific comments:

-

PC 3.1iii

Access to Agriculture inputs and technologies

Target:

Double (100% increase) the current levels of quality agricultural inputs for crops (seed), livestock (breed), and fisheries (fingerlings), by the year 2025 from the year 2015.

Indicator:

Growth rate of the ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs (seed, breed, fingerlings) to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity(in %), is: (ţAIt)

- Specific actions taken so far for the target:
- Achievements on seed varieties/breeds/stocking materials:

| Item | 2015 | 2016 |
|---|------|------|
| Commodity 1 = | | |
| 1. Total national quality agriculture inputs requirement for the considered commodity i $(AgIR_1)$ | | |
| 2. Supplied quality agriculture inputs for the commodity 1 (AgIS ₁) | | |
| 3. Ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity (R_1) | | |
| Growth rate of the ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity 1 (in %), is: $tAI_1 = 100 \times (R_{1.2016} - R_{1.2015}) / R_{1.2015}$ | | |
| Commodity 2 = | | |
| 1. Total national quality agriculture inputs requirement for the considered commodity i (AgIR ₂) | | |
| 2. Supplied quality agriculture inputs for the commodity 2 (AgIS ₂) | | |
| 3. Ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity (R_2) | | |
| Growth rate of the ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity 2 (in %), is: $\frac{1}{2}AI_2 = 100 \times (R_{2.2016} - R_{2.2015}) / R_{2.2015}$ | | |

| Commodity 3 = | |
|---|--|
| 1. Total national quality agriculture inputs requirement for the considered commodity i $(AgIR_3)$ | |
| 2. Supplied quality agriculture inputs for the commodity 3 (AgIS ₃) | |
| 3. Ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity (R_3) | |
| Growth rate of the ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity 3 (in %), is: $tAI_3 = 100 \times (R_{3.2016} - R_{3.2015}) / R_{3.2015}$ | |
| Commodity 4 = | |
| 1. Total national quality agriculture inputs requirement for the considered commodity i $(AgIR_4)$ | |
| 2. Supplied quality agriculture inputs for the commodity 4 (AgIS ₄) | |
| 3. Ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity (R_4) | |
| Growth rate of the ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total national inputs requirements for the commodity 4 (in %), is: $tAI_4 = 100 \times (R_{4.2016} - R_{4.2015}) / R_{4.2015}$ | |
| Commodity 5 = | |
| 1. Total national quality agriculture inputs requirement for the considered commodity i $(AgIR_5)$ | |
| 2. Supplied quality agriculture inputs for the commodity 5 (AgIS ₅) | |

| | 3. Ratio of supplied quality agriculture input inputs requirements for the commodity (R ₅). Growth rate of the ratio of supplied quality the total national inputs requirements for %), is: \$\frac{t}{4}\frac{t}{5} = \frac{100}{5} \text{ (R ₅ , 2016 - R _{5,2015}) / R _{5,2015} } | y agriculture inputs to the commodity 5 (in | |
|---|---|---|----|
| | - | sert more commodity if necessa | ry |
| | Average Growth rate of the ratio of supplied inputs to the total national inputs requirem (ţAli) Sources of verification and other specific comme | ments, ţAl= average | |
| PC 3.1iv Access to Agriculture inputs and technologies | Specific actions taken so far for the target: Achievements on access to quality agricultural ad | | _ |
| Target: All farmers have access to quality agricultural advisory services that provide locally relevant knowledge, information and other services by 2018. Indicator: Proportion of farmers having access to Agricultural Advisory Services (AFAgAS) | 1. Number of farmers having access to Agricultural Advisory Services, NFAgAS 2. Total Number of farmers, NF Proportion of farmers having access to Agricultural Advisory Services (%), AFAgAS = 100 x (NFAgAS/NF) Sources of verification and other specific comme | 2016 nts: | |

PC 3.1v

Access to Agriculture inputs and technologies

Target:

Increase the level of Investments in Agricultural Research and Development to at least 1% of the Agricultural GDP, from 2015 to 2025.

Indicator:

Total Agricultural Research Spending as a share of AgGDP (tTARS)

- Specific actions taken so far for the target:
- Achievements on investment in agriculture research and development:

| Item | 2015 | 2016 |
|--|------|------|
| 1. Total Agricultural Research Spending, TARS | | |
| 2. Agriculture, value added, AgGDP | | |
| Total Agricultural Research Spending as a share of AgGDP (%), that the total transfer to the same transfer to the same transfer to the transfer transfer to the transfer transfer transfer to the transfer transfe | | |

• Sources of verification and other specific comments:

_

PC 3.1vi Access to Agriculture inputs and technologies

Target:

Ensure that 100% of farmers and agribusiness interested in agriculture have rights to access the required land by 2018.

Indicator:

Proportion of farm households with ownership or secure land rights, (thhSL) • Specific actions taken so far for the target:

-

• Achievements on access to land:

| Item | 2016 |
|--|------|
| 1. Total number of farm households in the country, N_TFHh | |
| 2. Number of farm HHs with secured land rights , NFHhSL | |
| Proportion of farm households with ownership or secure land rights, thhSL: thhSLt = 100 x NFHhSLi / NTFHht | |

• Sources of verification and other specific comments:

-

PC 3.2i Agricultural Productivity

Target:

Double (100% increase) the current agricultural labor productivity levels by the year 2025 from the year 2015.

Indicator:

Growth rate of Agriculture value added per agricultural worker (tAgW) • Specific actions taken so far for the target:

-

• Achievements on labor productivity:

| Itaua | Baseline Value (average 2011-2015) | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|--|
| Item | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | Average | 2016 | |
| Agriculture value added in constant US dollars (AgGDP) | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Agricultural worker (W) | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Agricultural value added per agricultural worker (constant 2010 USD), AgW=AgGDP/W | | | | | | | | |
| Growth rate of Agriculture value added per agricultural worker (in %), tAgW = 100 x (AgW ₂₀₁₆ - AgW _{av.}) / AgW _{av.} | | | | | | | | |

• Sources of verification and Specific comments:

_

PC 3.2ii Agricultural Productivity

Target:

Double (increase by 100%) the current agricultural land productivity levels, by the year 2025 from the year 2015.

Indicator:

Growth rate of agriculture value added, at constant US dollars, per hectare of agricultural arable land (tAgL)

- Specific actions taken so far for the target:
 - _
- Achievements on land productivity:

| lkom | Baseline Value (average 2011-2015) | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|--|
| Item | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | Average | 2016 | |
| 1. Agriculture added value in constant US dollars (AgGDP) | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Agricultural arable land in hectare (L) | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Agriculture value added in constant US dollars per hectare of agricultural arable land (AgL=AgGDP/L) | | | | | | | | |
| Growth rate of agriculture value added, at constant US dollars, per hectare of agricultural arable land(in %), \$\frac{1}{4}AgL = \frac{100}{4}x (AgL_{2016} - AgL_{av.}) / AgL_{av.} | | | | | | | | |

- Sources of verification and Specific comments:
- .

PC 3.2iii Agricultural Productivity

Target:

Double (100% increase) the current agricultural yield levels, by the year 2025 from the

- Specific actions taken so far for the target:
- Achievements on agriculture yield levels:

| Itam | Baseline Value (average 2011-2015) | | | | | | |
|---------------|------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|
| Item | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | Average | 2016 |
| Commodity 1 = | | | | | | | |

| year 2015. | 1. Total production of commodity 1 (Pd ₁) | |
|--|--|---------------|
| Indicator: Growth rate of the yield of the | 2. Total size of the production unit of the commodity 1 (L_1) | |
| commodity i (ţYI;) | 3. Yield of commodity 1(Y ₁ =Pd ₁ /L ₁) | |
| | Growth rate of the yield of the commodity 1, $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$ | |
| | | Commodity 2 = |
| | 1. Total production of commodity 2 (Pd ₂) | |
| | 2. Total size of the production unit of the commodity 2 (L ₂) | |
| | 3. Yield of commodity 2 (Y ₂ =Pd ₂ /L ₂) | |
| | Growth rate of the yield of the commodity 2, $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$ | |
| | | Commodity 3 = |
| | 1. Total production of commodity 3 (Pd ₃) | |
| | 2. Total size of the production unit of the commodity 3 (L ₃) | |
| | 3. Yield of commodity 3 (Y ₃ =Pd ₃ /L ₃) | |
| | Growth rate of the yield of the commodity 3, $	ext{tYI}_3 = 100 \text{ x}$ $(Y3_{2016} - Y3_{av.}) / Y3_{av.}$ | |
| | | Commodity 4 = |

| 1. Total production of commodity 4 (Pd ₄) | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|--------------|------------------|--------|---|---|-------|
| 2. Total size of the production unit of the commodity 4 (L_4) | | | | | | | |
| 3. Yield of commodity 4 (Y ₄ =Pd ₄ /L ₄) | | | | | | | |
| Growth rate of the yield of the commodity 4, $\xi YI_4 = 100 x$ (Y4 ₂₀₁₆ - Y4 _{av.}) / Y4 _{av.} | | • | · | ' | • | | |
| | | Commod | ity 5 = | | | | |
| 1. Total production of commodity 5 (Pd ₅) | | | | | | | |
| 2. Total size of the production unit of the commodity 5 (L_5) | | | | | | | |
| 3. Yield of commodity 5 $(Y_5=Pd_5/L_5)$ | | | | | | | |
| Growth rate of the yield of the commodity 5, $\xi YI_5 = 100 x$ $(Y5_{2016} - Y5_{av.}) / Y5_{av.}$ | | | · | · | · | · | |
| Insert more con -Rice, -Maize, -Legumes | The 11 A | U priority o | <u>ommoditie</u> | s are: | | | llet. |
| | | Ovei | all | | | | |
| Average Growth rate for all commodities reported , ţYI= average (ţYI _i) | | | | | | | |

PC 3.3

Post-Harvest Loss

Target:

Halve (decrease by 50%) the current levels of Post-Harvest Losses (PHL), by the year 2025 from the year 2015.

Indicator:

Reduction rate of Post-Harvest Losses for (at least) the 5 national priority commodities, and possibly for the 11 AU agriculture priority commodities (ţPHL)

- Specific actions taken so far for the target:
- Achievements on Post Harvest Loss:

| Itana | Baseline Value (average 2011-2015) | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|------|-----------|------|------|------------------------|------|--|
| Item | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | Average | 2016 | |
| | | Cor | nmodity 1 | | | | | |
| 1. Production (million tons) of the commodity 1, Pd ₁ | | | | | | | | |
| - Loss at Harvesting; Lhv | | | | | | | 3 | |
| - Loss at Storage; Lst | | | | | | | | |
| - Loss at Transport; Ltr | | | | | , | | | |
| - Loss at Processing; LPr | | | | | | | | |
| - Loss at Packaging; Lpc | | | | | | | | |
| - Loss at Sales; Lsl | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Loss (million tons) of the commodity 1, Ls ₁ = Lhv + Lst + Ltr + Lpr + Lpc + Lsl | | | | | | | | |
| 3.Post Harvest Loss for the commodity 1, PHL ₁ = (Ls ₁ /Pd ₁)x 100 | | | | | | 4. PHL _{1.av} | | |
| 5. Reduction rate of Post- Harvest Losses of the commodity 1, tPHL ₁ = 100 x (PHL _{1.av} - PHL _{1.2016}) / PHL _{1.av} | | | | | | | | |
| 1.dV | | Cor | nmodity 2 | | | | | |

| 1. Production (million tons) of the commodity 2, Pd ₂ | | |
|--|-------------|------------------------|
| - Loss at Harvesting; Lhv | | |
| - Loss at Storage; Lst | | |
| - Loss at Transport; Ltr | | |
| - Loss at Processing; LPr | | |
| - Loss at Packaging; Lpc | | |
| - Loss at Sales; Lsl | | |
| 2. Loss (million tons) of the commodity 2, Ls ₂ = Lhv + Lst + Ltr + Lpr + Lpc + Lsl | | |
| 3.Post Harvest Loss for the commodity 2, PHL ₂ = (Ls ₂ /Pd ₂)x 100 | | 4. PHL _{2.av} |
| 4. Reduction rate of Post- Harvest Losses of the commodity 2, tPHL ₂ = 100 x (PHL _{2.av} - PHL _{i.2016}) / PHL _{2.av} | | |
| | Commodity 3 | |
| 1. Production (million tons) of the commodity 3, Pd ₃ | | |
| - Loss at Harvesting; Lhv | | |
| - Loss at Storage; Lst | | |
| - Loss at Transport; Ltr | | |

| - Loss at Processing; LPr | |
|--|------------------------|
| | |
| - Loss at Packaging; Lpc | |
| - Loss at Sales; Lsl | |
| 2. Loss (million tons) of the commodity 3, Ls ₃ = Lhv + Lst + Ltr + Lpr + Lpc + Lsl | |
| 3.Post Harvest Loss for the commodity 3, PHL ₃ = (Ls ₃ /Pd ₅)x 100 | 4. PHL _{3.av} |
| | |
| Harvest Losses of the commodity 1, tPHL ₃ = 100 x (PHL _{3.av} - PHL _{3.2016}) / PHL _{3.av} | andity 4 |
| commodity 1, tPHL ₃ = 100 x (PHL _{3.av} - PHL _{3.2016}) / PHL _{3.av} | rodity 4 |
| commodity 1, tPHL ₃ = 100 x (PHL _{3.av} - PHL _{3.2016}) / PHL _{3.av} Comm 1. Production (million tons) of | odity 4 |
| commodity 1, tPHL ₃ = 100 x (PHL _{3.av} - PHL _{3.2016}) / PHL _{3.av} Comm 1. Production (million tons) of | odity 4 |
| commodity 1, tPHL ₃ = 100 x (PHL _{3.av} - PHL _{3.2016}) / PHL _{3.av} Comm 1. Production (million tons) of the commodity 4, Pd ₄ | odity 4 |
| commodity 1, tPHL ₃ = 100 x (PHL _{3.av} - PHL _{3.2016}) / PHL _{3.av} Comm 1. Production (million tons) of the commodity 4, Pd ₄ - Loss at Harvesting; Lhv | odity 4 |
| commodity 1, tPHL ₃ = 100 x (PHL _{3.av} - PHL _{3.2016}) / PHL _{3.av} Comm 1. Production (million tons) of the commodity 4, Pd ₄ - Loss at Harvesting; Lhv - Loss at Storage; Lst | odity 4 |
| commodity 1, tPHL ₃ = 100 x (PHL _{3.av} - PHL _{3.2016}) / PHL _{3.av} Comm 1. Production (million tons) of the commodity 4, Pd ₄ - Loss at Harvesting; Lhv - Loss at Storage; Lst - Loss at Transport; Ltr | odity 4 |

| 2. Loss (million tons) of the commodity 4, Ls ₄ = Lhv + Lst + Ltr + Lpr + Lpc + Lsl | | |
|--|-------------|------------------------|
| 3.Post Harvest Loss for the commodity 4, PHL ₄ = (Ls ₄ /Pd ₄)x 100 | | 4. PHL _{4.av} |
| 5. Reduction rate of Post- Harvest Losses of the commodity 4, ţPHL ₄ = 100 x (PHL _{4.av} - PHL _{4.2016}) / PHL _{4.av} | | |
| | Commodity 5 | _ |
| 1. Production (million tons) of the commodity 5, Pd ₅ | | |
| - Loss at Harvesting; Lhv | | |
| - Loss at Storage; Lst | | |
| - Loss at Transport; Ltr | | |
| - Loss at Processing; LPr | | |
| - Loss at Packaging; Lpc | | |
| - Loss at Sales; Lsl | | |
| 2. Loss (million tons) of the commodity 5, Ls ₅ = Lhv + Lst + Ltr + Lpr + Lpc + Lsl | | |
| 3.Post Harvest Loss for the commodity 5, PHL ₅ = (Ls ₅ /Pd ₅)x | | 4. PHL _{5.av} |

Reduction rate of Post-Harvest Losses of the commodity 5, tPHL₅ = 100 x (PHL_{5,2015} - PHL_{5,2016}) / PHL_{5,2015}

Insert more commodities if necessary, and the 11 AU priority commodities (if not already listed).

The 11 AU priority commodities are:

-Rice, -Maize, -Legumes, -Cotton, -Oil palm, -Beef, -Dairy, -Poultry and fisheries, -Cassava, -Sorghum and -Millet.

| Overall | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Average reduction rate of Post- Harvest Losses for all the commodities reported, | | | | | | | |

Sources of verification and other specific comments:

-

PC 3.4 Social Protection

Target:

Commit within national budgets, budget lines that amount to 100% of the total resource requirements for coverage of the vulnerable social groups, from 2015 to 2025, for use to support social protection initiatives, and to address any eventual disasters and emergencies with food and

- Specific actions taken so far for the target:
- Achievements on social protection:

| Item | 2015 | 2016 |
|--|------|------|
| 1. Budget Allocation to social protection Cash Transfers for food and cash reserves, BA _{CT} | | |
| 2. Budget Allocation to social protection Emergency Food Supplies, BA _{EFS} | | |
| 3. Budget Allocation to social protection School Feeding, BA _{SF} | | |
| 4. Budget Allocation to social protection Other protective services , BA _{Other} | | |

| nutrition security implications. | | 5. Total Budget Allocation to social prote TBA _{SP} = BA _{CT} + BA _{EFS} + BA _{SF} + BA _{Other} | ction, | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--------|------|---|
| Indicator: Budget lines on social protection as | | 6. Total Budget Requirements for social protection, TBR _{SP} | | | |
| percentage of the total resource requirements for coverage of the vulnerable social | | Budget lines on social protection as percent the total resource requirements for coverable the vulnerable social groups (in %), | _ | | |
| groups (ţSP) | ■ Source | es of verification and other specific comm | nents: | | |
| PC 3.5i Food security and Nutrition | - | ic actions taken so far for the target: | | | |
| Target: Bring down child | | Item | 2015 | 2016 | |
| stunting to 10%, by the year 2025. | | Prevalence of stunting (% of children under 5 years old), St | | | |
| Indicator: Prevalence of stunting (St) | • Source | es of verification and other specific comm | nents: | | |
| PC 3.5ii Food security and | Specifi- | ic actions taken so far for the target: | | | |
| Nutrition | ■ <u>Achiev</u> | vements on underweight: | | | _ |
| <u>Target:</u> | | Item | 2015 | 2016 | |
| Bring down underweight to 5% or less, by the year 2025. | | Prevalence of underweight (% of children under 5 years old), Uw | | | |
| Indicator: Prevalence of underweight (Uw) | • Source - | es of verification and other specific comm | nents: | | |

| PC 3.5iii Food security and Nutrition | - | c actions taken so far for the target: | | |
|---|---------------------------|--|-------|------|
| <u>Target:</u> | | Item | 2015 | 2016 |
| Bring down wasting to 5% or less, by the year 2025. | | Prevalence of wasting (% of children under 5 old), W | | |
| Indicator: Prevalence of wasting (W) | • Source - | s of verification and other specific comm | ents: | |
| PC 3.5iv | Specifi | c actions taken so far for the target: | | |
| Food security and Nutrition | - • <u>Achiev</u> | ements on undernourishment: | | |
| Target: | | Item | 2015 | 2016 |
| Bring down undernourishment to 5% or less, by the year 2025. | | Proportion of the population that is undernourished (% of the country's population), U | | |
| Indicator: Proportion of the population that is undernourished (U) | Source - | s of verification and other specific comm | ents: | |
| PC 3.5v Food security and Nutrition | - | c actions taken so far for the target: ements on Minimum Dietary Diversity-W | omen: | |
| <u>Target:</u> | | Item | 2015 | 2016 |
| Increase the proportion of women at reproductive age | | 1. Proportion of minimum Dietary Diversity-Women, MDDW | | |
| that attain the minimum dietary diversity by 50%, by the year 2025. | | Increase rate of the proportion of Minimum Dietary Diversity-Women (in %), tMDDW = 100 x (MDDW ₂₀₁₆ - MDDW ₂₀₁₅) / MDDW ₂₀₁₅ | | |

Indicator:

Increase rate of the proportion of Minimum Dietary Diversity-Women (tMDDW)

• Sources of verification and other specific comments:

-

PC 3.5vi

Food security and Nutrition

Target:

Reach at least 50% of children 6-23 months that have the minimum acceptable diet by the year 2025.

Indicator:

Proportion of 6-23 months old children who meet the Minimum Acceptable Diet (MAD) • Specific actions taken so far for the target:

-

• Achievements on child Minimum Acceptable Diet:

| Item | 2015 | 2016 |
|--|------|------|
| Proportion of 6-23 months old children who meet the Minimum Acceptable Diet, MAD | | |

• Sources of verification and other specific comments:

_

PC 4.1i

Agricultural GDP and Poverty Reduction

Target:

Sustain annual agricultural GDP growth of at least 6%, from the year 2015 to the year 2025.

• Specific actions taken so far for the target:

_

• Achievements on agricultural GDP growth:

| lkam | Baseline Value (average 2011-2015) | | | | | | 2016 |
|---|------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|
| Item | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | Average | 2016 |
| Agriculture value added, in constant US dollars (AgGDP) | | | | | | | |

Indicator:

Growth rate of the 3. Annual growth rate of agriculture value Agriculture value added, in added, in constant US constant US dollars dollars (aAgGDP) (tAgGDP) **Growth rate of the** agriculture value added, in constant US dollars (aAgGDP) • Sources of verification and Specific comments: • Specific actions taken so far for the target: PC 4.1ii **Agricultural GDP** and Poverty Achievements: Reduction • Sources of verification and other specific comments: **Target:** Ensure that agriculture growth contribute to at least 50% to the overall poverty reduction target, from the year 2015 to the year 2025. Stand-by for more research • Specific actions taken so far for the target: **PC 4.1iii Agricultural GDP** and Poverty • Achievements on national poverty line: Reduction **Baseline Value** Item 2016 Target: 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 Reduce poverty level by at least 1. Poverty headcount ratio 50%, at national at national poverty lines (% poverty line, from of population), (phrN) the year 2015 to the

| year 2025. Indicator: Reduction rate of poverty headcount ratio, at national poverty line, (dpovN) | Reduction rate of poverty headcount ratio, at national poverty line, dpovN = 100 x (phrN ₂₀₁₅ -phrN ₂₀₁₆)/ phrN ₂₀₁₅ Sources of verification and Specific - | comments: | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------|------|---------------|------|------|---------------|
| PC 4.1iv Agricultural GDP and Poverty Reduction | Specific actions taken so far for the Achievements on international pove | | R | nseline Value | | | |
| Target: | Item - | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | - 2016 |
| Reduce poverty level by at least | | | | | | | |
| 50%, at international poverty line, from | Poverty headcount ratio at international poverty lines (% of population), phrl | | | | | | |
| international | at international poverty | | | | | | |

PC 4.1v

Agricultural GDP and Poverty **Reduction**

Target:

Contribute to poverty reduction by reducing the gap between the wholesale price and farm-gate price, by 50% by the year 2025, from the year 2015.

Indicator:

Reduction rate of the gap between the wholesale price and farmgate price (tfgws)

- Specific actions taken so far for the target:
- Achievements on wholesale-farm-gate price gap:

| Item | 2015 | 2016 |
|---|------|------|
| 1. Average weighted farm gate price , FgP | | |
| 2. Average weighted Wholesale/Market Price, WsP | | |
| 3. Gap between the wholesale price and farmgate price, Gfgws = 100 x (FgP - WsP)/WsP | | |
| Reduction rate of the gap between the wholesale price and farmgate price (in %), tfgws = 100 x (Gfgws ₂₀₁₆ - Gfgws ₂₀₁₅) /Gfgws ₂₀₁₅ | | |

• Sources of verification and other specific comments:

PC 4.2 **Inclusive PPPs for** commodity value chains

Taraet:

Establish and/or strengthen inclusive public-private partnerships (PPP) for at least five (5) priority agricultural commodity value chains with strong linkage to smallholder agriculture, by 2025.

- Specific actions taken so far for the target:
- Achievements on priority agricultural commodity value chains that involve smallholder agriculture :

| Item | 2016 |
|--|------|
| 1. Priority commodity value chains, list {PC _i } | |
| - Total volume of trade for the priority commodity i, V_{Ti} | |
| - Volume of trade between smallholders and target buyers of the the priority commodity i, V_{smhi} | |

| | - | | | | - | |
|-----|---|---|---|---|----|----|
| In | П | 7 | C | n | tn | r. |
| III | и | L | u | и | ιυ | |

Number of priority agricultural commodity value chains for which a PPP is established with strong linkage to smallholder agriculture (Nc)

- 2. Percent of volume of trade between smallholders and target buyers of the priority commodity i, $t_{smhi} = V_{smhi}/V_{Ti}$
- Number of smallholders integrated into the value chain of the priority commodity i, N_{smhi}
- Total suppliers that are supplying the market of the value chain of the priority commodity i, NT_i
- 3. Percentage of smallholders as part of the total suppliers, supplying that market of the priority commodity i, $\eta_{smhi} = N_{smhi}/N_{Ti}$
- 4. Priority commodity value chains for which a PPP is established with strong linkage to smallholder agriculture, list {PCsmhi} = {PCi / $(t_{smhi} \times \eta_{smhi}) >= 25\%$ }

Number of priority agricultural commodity value chains for which a PPP is established with strong linkage to smallholder agriculture, Nc = count (list {PCsmhi})

- Sources of verification and other specific comments:
- PC 4.3 Youth job in agriculture
 - Target:

Create job opportunities for at least 30% of the youth in agricultural value chains, by the year 2025.

Indicator:

Percentage of youth that is engaged in

- Specific actions taken so far for the target:
- Achievements on youth employment:

| Item | 2016 |
|--|------|
| 1. Total number of youth at working age in the country, TN _{Ythi} | |
| - Number of youth who do any agriculture related work as paid employees for any agriculture entreprise or SME $(AgN_{yth}E)$ | |

| new job opportunities in agriculture value chains (| - Number of youth who work as self-employed in their own business or profession or on their own farm (AgN _{yth} SE) | | |
|---|--|------|--|
| | - Number of youth who work 15 hours per week or more as unpaid workers in a family-operated enterprise (AgN _{yth} FE) | | |
| | 2. Number of youth that is engaged in new jobs in agricultural value chains, (cumulative counting from the year 2015), AgN _{Yth} = AgN _{yth} E + AgN _{yth} SE + AgN _{yth} FE | | |
| | Percentage of youth that is engaged in new job opportunities in agriculture value chains, tyth = 100 x AgN _{Yth} /TN _{Yth} | | |
| | Sources of verification and other specific comments: | | |
| PC 4.4 Women participation in Agriculture | Specific actions taken so far for the target: Achievements on Women empowerment: | | |
| Target: | Item | 2016 | |
| Ensure that 20% of rural women have | 1. Total number of women engaged in agriculture, Ntw | | |
| access to productive assets, including land, credit, inputs | - Number of women that have: a) Input in productive decisions and $$ b) Autonomy in production, (NDE1) | | |
| and financial services and information | 2. Proportion of women that make Decisions about agricultural production, $\t DE_1 = NDE_1 / Ntw$ | | |
| (empowered) by 2023. | - Number of women that have: a)Ownership of assets, b)Purchase, sale or transfer of assets, c)Access to and decisions about credit (NDE ₂) | | |
| Indicator: Proportion of rural | 3. Proportion of women that have Access to and decision-making power about | | |

productive resources, $\DE_2 = NDE_2 / Ntw$

women that are

| empowered in agriculture, (twe) | | - Number of women that have Control over use of income (NDE ₃) | | |
|---------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| agriculture, (çvvz) | | 4. Departure of warrant bat have Control of was of incomes tDF - NDF / Ntw. | | |
| | | 4. Proportion of women that have Control of use of income, \tauDE_3 = NDE_3 / Ntw | | |
| | | - Number of women that have: a) Group member and b) Speaking in public (NDE ₄) | | |
| | | 5. Proportion of women that have Leadership in the community, tDE ₄ = NDE ₄ / Ntw | | |
| | | - Number of women that have: a) Workload and b) Leisure (NDE ₅) | | |
| | | 6. Proportion of women that have time allocation for leisure, $\c DE_5 = NDE_5 / Ntw$ | | |
| | | 7. Number of women empowered in agriculture, NwE = f (NDE ₁ , NDE ₂ , NDE ₃ , NDE ₄ , NDE ₅) using mathematical set method. | | |
| | | Proportion of rural women that are empowered in agriculture, twe = 100 x NwE / Ntw | | |
| | Sources | of verification and other specific comments: | | |
| DC F 1 | • Charific actions talvan as for for the towart. | | | |

PC 5.1

Intra-African
Trade in
agriculture
commodities and
services

Target:

Triple intra-African trade in agricultural commodities and services, by the year 2025 from the year 2015.

- Specific actions taken so far for the target:
- Achievements on Intra-African Trade for agriculture commodities and services:

| Item | 2015 | 2016 |
|--|------|------|
| i)- Value of intra- African <u>imports</u> for agriculture <u>goods</u> , IAMg | | |
| ii)- Value of intra- African <u>imports</u> for agriculture <u>services</u> , IAMs | | |
| iii)- Value of intra- African <u>exports</u> for agriculture <u>goods</u> , IAXg | | |

| Indicator: Growth rate of the value of trade of | iv)- Value of intra- African <u>exports</u> for agriculture <u>services</u> , IAXs | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| agricultural commodities and services within Africa, in constant US dollars | 4. Value of intra- African trade (imports and exports) for agriculture goods and services, in constant US dollars 2010, IAT = IAMg + IAMs + IAXg + IAXs | | |
| (ţIAT) | Growth rate of the value of trade of agricultural commodities and services within Africa, in constant US dollars (in %), $\sharp IAT = 100 \times (IAT_{2016} - IAT_{2015}) / IAT_{2015}$ | | |
| | Sources of verification and Specific comments: | | |
| <u>PC 5.2i</u> Intra-African | Specific actions taken so far for the target: - | | |
| Trade Policies | • Achievements on trade facilitation: | | |
| and institutional conditions. | Item 2 | | |
| Target: | Physical infrastructure (PI) | | |
| Fully establish trade facilitation measures by | 2.Information and communication technology (ICT) | | |
| reaching 100% of Trade Facilitation | 3. Border administration (BA) | | |

Indicator:

Index by 2025.

Trade Facilitation Index (TFI)

1. Physical infrastructure (PI)

2.Information and communication technology (ICT)

3. Border administration (BA)

- Number of countries with bilateral agricultural trade related agreements (NTA)

4. Bilateral Agricultural trade related agreements, ATA = 100 x NTA/54

- Number of countries with visa free entry (NVF)

- Number of countries with visa on arrival (VA)

5. Immigration IM = 100 x (NVF+VA)/54

| Trade Facilitation Index, TFI = | (PI + ICT + BA + ATA + IM)/5 |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|

• Sources of verification and other specific comments:

-

PC 5.2ii

Intra-African Trade Policies and institutional conditions

Target:

Reduce the Domestic Food Price Volatility Index to less than 7.5% by 2025.

Indicator:

Domestic Food Price Volatility Index (CV)

• Specific actions taken so far for the target:

-

• Achievements on Domestic Food Price Volatility Index:

| Item | 2015 | 2016 |
|---|------|------|
| Domestic Food Price Volatility Index, CV | | |

• Sources of verification and Specific comments:

-

PC 6.1i

Resilience to climate related risks

Target:

Ensure that at least 30% of farm, pastoral, and fisher households are resilient to climate and weather related risks, by the year 2025.

- Specific actions taken so far for the target:
- 1
- Achievements on households are resilient to climate and weather related risks:

| Item | 2015 | 2016 |
|--|------|------|
| 1. Total number of farm, pastoral, and fisher households, NagHH | | |
| 2. Number of farm, pastoral, and fisher households that are resilient to climate variability and related risks, NRagHH | | |

| Indicator: Percentage of farm, pastoral, and fisher households that are resilient to climate and weather related shocks, (ţRAgHhi) | • Source - | Percentage of farm, pastoral, and fisher households that are resilient to climate and weather related shocks (in %), tRAgHhi = 100 x NRagHH/NagHH es of verification and other specific comme | ents: | | |
|---|---------------------------|--|------------------|------|------|
| PC 6.1ii Resilience to climate related risks | - | c actions taken so far for the target: ements on sustainable land management | <u>:</u> | | |
| Target: | | Item | 2015 | 201 | 6 |
| Ensure that at least 30% of agricultural land is placed under | | Agriculture area under SLM, ASLM | | | |
| sustainable land | | 2. Total agriculture area, AA | | | |
| management practice. Indicator: | | Share of agriculture land under SLM practices (in %), SSLM = 100 x ASLM /AA | | | |
| Share of agriculture land under SLM practices (SSLM) | • Source | es of verification and other specific comm | ents: | | |
| PC 6.2 | Specifi | c actions taken so far for the target: | | | |
| Investment in resilience building | - • <u>Achiev</u> | rements on availability of budget lines on | resilience build | ing: | |
| J | | Item | | 2015 | 2016 |
| Target: Create permanent investment budget- lines to respond to | | 1. Existence of government budget-lines of preparedness policy and strategy, El _{RB1} | on disaster | | |
| spending needs on resilience building initiatives, especially | | Existence of government budget-lines of warning and response systems and social nets. Floor. | | | |

nets, EI_{RB2}

for disaster

preparedness plans,

| functioning early warning and response systems, | 3. Number (proportion) of hou index insurance, EI _{RB3} | useholds covered by | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|-------------------|--|
| social safety nets, and weather-based index insurance, from 2015 to 2025. | Existence of government bud to spending needs on resilien (in %), EI _{RB} = average (EI _{RBi}) _{i=1} | ce building initiatives | | |
| Indicator: Existence of government budget-lines to respond to spending needs on resilience building initiatives (EI _{RB}) | Sources of verification and other spectrum. | ecific comments: | | |
| PC 7.1 Country capacity for evidence based planning, | Specific actions taken so far for the tage. Achievements on capacity to generate | | statistical data: | |
| implementation and M&E | Item | 2015 | 2016 | |
| Target: Reach at least 63 for the Index of capacity | Index of capacity to generate and use agriculture statistical data and information, ASCI | | | |

the Index of capacity to generate and use agriculture statistical data and information (ASCI), by 2025.

Indicator:

Index of capacity to generate and use agriculture statistical data and information, (ASCI) Sources of verification and other specific comments:

-

PC 7.2

Peer Review and Mutual **Accountability**

Target:

Foster alignment, harmonization and coordination among multi-sectorial efforts and multiinstitutional platforms for peer review, mutual learning and mutual accountability. (reach 100% for the Existence of inclusive institutionalized mechanisms and platforms for mutual accountability and peer review, ECI) by 2018.

Indicator:

Existence of inclusive institutionalized mechanisms for mutual accountability and peer review, (ECI)

- Specific actions taken so far for the target:
- Achievements on inclusive institutionalized mechanisms and platforms for mutual accountability:

| Item | 2016 Progress |
|--|---------------|
| - Number of mutual accountability principles satisfied by the country, MAPS | |
| 1. Adherence to mutual accountability principles (%), AMAP = (MAPS/6) x 100 | |
| - Number of best practices satisfied by the country, BPS | |
| 2. Existence of mutual accountability mechanism and platform (%), EMAP = BPS/12 x 100 | |
| - Number of key areas covered by the country's review report, NKAA | |
| 3. Coverage of agricultural review report, CARR = (NKAA/6) x 100 | |
| Existence of inclusive institutionalized mechanisms for mutual accountability and peer review, ECI = (EMAP + AMAP + CARR) / 3 | |

• Sources of verification and other specific comments:

PC 7.3

Biennial Agriculture Review Process

Target:

Conduct a biennial Agriculture Review Process that

- Specific actions taken so far for the target:
- Achievements on availing the regular country Biennial Report for the AU Assembly:

Progress item

2016 Progress (p_i) "Yes" = 1| "No" = 0

Weight (w_i)

 $BR_i = p_i \times w_i$

Comments

| involves tracking, |
|--------------------|
| monitoring and |
| reporting progress |
| made in |
| implementing the |
| Malabo Declaration |
| by availing the |
| regular country |
| Biennial Report to |
| the AU Assembly. |

Indicator:

Country Biennial Report submission, (BR)

| Existence of <u>Draft 1 Country Biennial Report</u> that has been validated at country level, and has been reviewed with national stakeholders' amendments (eg. JSR process), BR ₁ | | | 25% | |
|---|---|---|-----------|--|
| 2. Quality of the Draft 1 of the Biennial Report measured with n (number of parameters reported by the country) against N (total number of parameters reflected in the country reporting format), BR ₂ | | n | = 25% / N | |
| 3. Draft 2 Country Biennial Report that has been validated at subregional level, and which has taken into account amendments on data harmonization and alignment, BR ₃ | Existence of Draft 2 | | 12.5% | |
| | Did the Country participate in the validation | | 12.5% | |
| 4. Submission of the Biennial Report by the country to the AUC/NPCA through RECs, BR ₄ | | | 25% | |
| Country Biennial Report submi | | | | |

Sources of verification and other specific comments:

Observations on the Evaluation and other general comments

.

_

_

-



African Union Commission, Headquarters, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

Department of Rural Economy and Agriculture (DREA), Comprehensive African Agriculture Development Programme (CAADP)

Contacts: Anselme Vodounhessi, anselmev@africa-union.org & Maurice Lorka N'Guessan, N'Guessanp@africa-union.org